LaGrange Housing Authority HUD-RAD Conversion Phoenix Landing 70 Unit Senior Development- 55 Years+ LaGrange, Troup County, Georgia, The LaGrange Housing Authority

Housing Authority of the City of LaGrange (LHA) is a public body with the statutory mission of providing affordable housing assistance for low-income families in Troup County, Georgia, including the City of LaGrange. The LHA currently owns 420 public housing units and has received a Commitment for Housing Assistance Payment (CHAP) contract through the Rental Assistance Demonstration (RAD) program. RAD allows LHA to convert from traditional Public Housing developments to long-term Project Based Section 8 Housing Assistance Payments (HAP) contracts. The length of the HAP contract will be 15 to 20 years and will be subject to annual appropriations. At the end of the contract term, HUD will renew the contract for an additional term, subject to the terms and conditions applicable at the time of renewal. Post renovation, the units will be restricted by a Project-Based HAP contract and tenants will continue to contribute 30 percent of their income towards rent.

Vantage Development-Development Partner

Vantage Development has been in the business of providing high-quality, safe affordable housing for families and seniors in the southeastern United States for the past forty years. Vantage Development is part of Vantage Group, which is a vertically integrated company consisting of (1) general contractor Fyffe Construction; (2) site work contractor, Vantage Construction; and (3) property management company, Vantage Management.

Ben Hill - The Current Site and RAD CHAP Award

Ben Hill, constructed in the 1950s, is located 201 Chatham Street within the City limits of LaGrange, Georgia, and is situated on approximately 18 acres consisting of 238 public-housing units, 53 apartment buildings, one administrative building, and one community center. Based upon DCA's per development LIHTC cap limits and rural scoring criteria, LHA and Vantage Development have received an amendment to the original HUD RAD CHAP Award that reflects developing the entire Ben Hill site in multiple phases consisting of the following: Phase I – 70 units, Phase II – 77 units and Phase III - 79 units (please note a reduction of 12 units have been requested which meets the HUD 5% de-minimis requirement). We have included the Amended RAD CHAP Award with this application. The proposed first phase will be developed on adjacent vacant property to the current Ben Hill site and consist of 70 units targeting seniors 55 and over. The entire Ben Hill site and the adjacent property are owned by LHA and are designated as a Master Planned Community. Overall Development Concept

As mentioned above, Ben Hill consists of 238 units and LHA will divide the development into three separate phases. The current make-up of the Ben Hill site is entirely multi-family. The proposed first redevelopment phase (Phoenix Landing) will consist of 70 units targeting seniors at 55 years an older. It is LHA's belief that segregating the senior from the family population allows for health, wellness and recreational services to be more targeted. Along with developing the proposed new senior housing, LHA is proposing to demolish approximately 10 buildings/42 units from the current Ben Hill development fronting Whitesville Road. By demolishing these buildings along Whitesville Road, space is created to develop a Linear Park extending from Handley Street (northern side of Ben Hill) to the beginning of the newly proposed Phoenix Landing development (approximately Mobile Home Drive- southern side of the current Ben Hill site). The linear park will include streetscaping, a walking path, and a gathering area for use by both the PHA residents and the general public. This new park will enhance the connectivity to LaGrange's downtown. LHA sees the Phoenix Landing senior development and the linear park as contributing to the City and community stakeholders' revitalization efforts on the Whitesville Road Corridor between HWY I-85 and downtown LaGrange. Also by creating the green space – linear park, the LHA believes this will contribute to the well-being of its residence and others in the community. The City and LaGrange and the Callaway Foundation have agreed to provide funding for demolishing and constructing the Linear Park. A commitment of \$600,000 from these two organizations has been secured and is part of this application in efforts to receive scoring points for off-site improvements associated with developing a green-space on adjacent property to the proposed Phoenix Landing site.

The proposed development, Phoenix Landing, will be located on Whitesville Road adjacent to the current Ben Hill site. The address of the property will be 1200 Whitesville Road and the property is vacant, wooded property consisting of approximately 7.9 of developable acreage. The current owner of the property is the LaGrange Housing Authority. The property is surrounded by the current Ben Hill site to the north, SF Homes and vacant property to the east, vacant property to the east, vacant property to the south and a small creek to the west. The proposed development will be a three-story interior corridor building consisting of 70 units, targeting the current seniors (55 and older) residing within the LaGrange Housing Authority's current housing portfolio that can afford rents at 60% of Area Median Income (AMI). All utilities are provided by the City of LaGrange.

The proposed financing will consists of 9% LIHTCs and a permanent loan from the LaGrange Housing Authority and the total development cost is approximately \$11,800,000. The proposed building is a three-story interior corridor building with a unit mix consisting of 21 1br, 40 2br and 9 3br with square footages of 730, 904 and 1100, respectively. The one and two bedroom units will have 1 full bath and the 3 bedroom units will have 2 full baths. All units are supported by HUD- RAD requirements and PBRA. Due to this financing structure, all the tenants currently residing in the demolished units of Ben Hill have the right to return to PHA or RAD units. Since this application will draw its tenants from existing elderly residents of the PHA, we have included a relocation plan detailing the moving of residents from the existing Ben Hill and Lucy Morgan site to the proposed new construction site of Phoenix Landing.

The DCA required amenities will consists of community room, exterior covered porch, on site laundry, elevators to all floors, furnished gathering areas in several locations and 100% of the units will be accessible. Additional amenities include covered pavilion with BBQ and picnic area and furnished arts & craft/activity center. Proposed unit amenities include built in washer/dryer hookups, luxury vinyl plank flooring, mini blinds, Energy Star refrigerator and dishwasher, microwave and an oven/stove combination with powder-based stovetop fire suppression canisters installed above the range cook top. All units will have individual HVAC system.

Building Design

The proposed development will be in compliance with the City of Lagrange as well as meet or exceed the Mandatory Design Criteria set forth by the Georgia Department of Community Affairs in the 2017 QAP. All exterior faces will be composed of an excess of 40% brick or stone. The roofing will be Energy Star shingles to help with the buildings total sustainability features.

The overall development and all units, as applicable, will be designed to meet state and federal accessibility and fair housing requirements, as defined by the Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988, Americans with Disabilities Act, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Georgia Fair Housing Law and Georgia Access Law set forth in the DCA 2016 Accessibility Manual. While the proposed development is setting aside at least 5% of its total units for the mobility impaired and at least 2% of its total units for the sensory impaired, 100% of the units will be fully adaptable.

Sustainability, Energy Efficiency and Healthy Living Environment

A key feature of Phoenix Landing will be its commitment to environmentally responsible construction and operation techniques. The building and all units therein will be Southface Earthcraft certified. The Earthcraft standard ensures that the building and its construction techniques meet certain standards for sustainability, energy efficiency and healthy living environment. Below are some of the features that will be included in the building design in order to meet the Earthcraft standard; the list is not exhaustive:

Compliance with 2009 International Energy Conservation Code (IECC)

HVAC system meets or exceeds the Energy Star specifications

Bathroom fans meet or exceed Energy Star specifications

Water heaters meet or exceed Energy Star specifications

Kitchen appliances meet or exceed Energy Star specifications

At least 80% of the lighting will be fluorescent

Plumbing fixtures designed for water conservation will be used

Low VOC wall paint and floor finishes will be used.

Target Population and Rents

Residents will be restricted to those who are 55 and older. All units will be income and rent restricted for seniors who earn 60% or below of the AMI. All units will be under a PBRA contract with an initial term of at least 15 years.

Transformational Communities

The proposed Phoenix Landing development is requesting 10 points associated with the Transformational Communities section of the 2017 QAP. Please note there is a not a formal community revitalization plan associated with the development but, as detailed in this application, the City of LaGrange and its community stakeholders are current making a committed effort to revitalized District 2. We are not claiming any points associated with a community revitalization plan but are requesting points under the Community Transformation Plan detailed in the 2017 QAP. The development team is requesting the following points under this section:

JUULIUI I.

Community Based Team – 2 pts

Community Based Developer – LaGrange Housing Authority Community Quarterback- Community Action for Improvement

Quality Transformation Plan – 4 pts

Community Investment - 4pts

Commitment to the Community Improvement Fund

Long Term Ground Lease

Third Party Capital Investment

Third Party Capital Investment – Linear Park adjacent to Phoenix Landings

The Ben Hill development is centrally located on Whitesville Road approximately 1 mile from the LaGrange downtown area and approximately one mile from HWY I-85. The City has designate the corridor of Whitesville Road as a high priority (Perkins +Will Gateway Corridor Plan) area for revitalization thereby increasing the City's ability to garner financial and other assistance in improving this corridor. The City of LaGrange and the Callaway Foundation have agreed to provide funding for demolishing and constructing the Linear Park. A commitment of \$600,000 from these two organizations has been secured and is part of this application in order to receive points for off-site improvements associated with developing a green-space on adjacent property to the proposed Phoenix Landing site. The City and Callaway's commitment reflects the desire to joint venture with the LHA in order to improve the Whitesville Corridor and to jump start the revitalization of this important corridor leading into downtown LaGrange. The City of LaGrange's commitment for \$300,000 and the Callaway's commitment of \$300,000 is included as part of this application. We are seeking the two points for off-site improvements as the \$600,000 represents an amount greater than 5% of the total development cost.

Based upon LHA's development strategy of converting its public housing units to HUD RAD, segregating the senior and family populations and the building newly constructed units, a relocation plan is required. All relocation will comply with the requirements of the applicable funding program, including the requirements of the Rental Assistance Demonstration (RAD) program, the Uniform Relocation Act, and Section 104(d) of the Housing & Community Development Act (each as applicable).

Since the development strategy consists of demolishing 42 units along Whitesville Road and building new construction (on adjacent property to the current Ben Hill site) of a three story interior corridor building, timing of the relocating residents in conjunction with the development schedule and a well thought out attrition plan will be implemented. Since the proposed Phoenix Landing development will have to be developed before the three-story building is placed in service (PIS), the demolition of the 42 units will occur 3-4 months before Phoenix Landing is PIS. Based upon the average monthly turnover of residents at the Ben Hill site, LHA will stop leasing out new units in order to account for at least the relocation of the 42 families within the needed timeframe. It should be noted that any resident moving out of the 42 units during the start of the attrition plan will not be replaced.

The relocation plan will have three phases: the first phase will consist of relocating the remaining families from the 42 units to the units available due to attrition at the Ben Hill remaining 196 (238-42) units. The second phase will consist of at the completion of the Phoenix Landing all seniors (estimated to be 47) residing and willing to relocate within the Ben Hill development will be relocated to the new development. The third phase will consist of relocating willing seniors from LHA's other development, Lucy Morgan, to the newly constructed Phoenix Landing.

West Georgia Star- Residential Service Provider for LaGrange Housing Authority

West Georgia Star is the resident service program provider for LHA and provides community based services targeting the promotion of self-sufficiency programs throughout the community. These services are primarily provided by volunteers or from a referring agency. The program operates 10 hours per day, 5 days a week and on the weekends as needed. These community based services are provided on Lucy Morgan Homes, Benjamin Harvey Hill Homes as well as various other sites, as contracted. Services provided include, but are not limited to:

Food Commodity Distribution

After School Enrichment

Financial Management Case Management

Clothing Disbursement

GED Tutoring

Health and Nutrition Training

Career Development

Fitness Training
Grief Counseling

Life Skills Training

Life Skills Training

Adolescent Growth Skills

K-12 School Readiness

Transportation Services

All services listed above are provided by West Georgia Star or through a contractual partnership with another existing agency. The above services are currently being funded by the LHA. LHA is aware of the need to have self-sustaining residential services, therefore during the HUD-RAD conversion process, the housing authority is shifting its focus to establishing systems and relationships for on-going fund raising campaigns, strategic partnering and revenue generating strategies that will maintain the current level of services to the residents.

LaGrange Housing Authority

HUD-RAD Conversion

Phoenix Landing

70 Unit Senior Development- 55 Years+

LaGrange, Troup County, Georgia,

The LaGrange Housing Authority

Housing Authority of the City of LaGrange (LHA) is a public body with the statutory mission of providing affordable housing assistance for low-income families in Troup County, Georgia, including the City of LaGrange. The LHA currently owns 420 public housing units and has received a Commitment for Housing Assistance Payment (CHAP) contract through the Rental Assistance Demonstration (RAD) program. RAD allows LHA to convert from traditional Public Housing developments to long-term Project Based Section 8 Housing Assistance Payments (HAP) contracts. The length of the HAP contract will be 15 to 20 years and will be subject to annual appropriations. At the end of the contract term, HUD will renew the contract for an additional term, subject to the terms and conditions applicable at the time of renewal. Post renovation, the units will be restricted by a Project-Based HAP contract and tenants will continue to contribute 30 percent of their income towards rent.

Vantage Development- Development Partner

Vantage Development has been in the business of providing high-quality, safe affordable housing for families and seniors in the southeastern United States for the past forty years. Vantage Development is part of

Vantage Group, which is a vertically integrated company consisting of (1) general contractor Fyffe Construction; (2) site work contractor, Vantage Construction; and (3) property management company, Vantage Management.

Ben Hill - The Current Site and RAD CHAP Award

Ben Hill, constructed in the 1950s, is located 201 Chatham Street within the City limits of LaGrange, Georgia, and is situated on approximately 18 acres consisting of 238 public-housing units, 53 apartment buildings, one administrative building, and one community center. Based upon DCA's per development LIHTC cap limits and rural scoring criteria, LHA and Vantage Development have received an amendment to the original HUD RAD CHAP Award that reflects developing the entire Ben Hill site in multiple phases consisting of the following: Phase I – 70 units, Phase II – 77 units and Phase III - 79 units (please note a reduction of 12 units have been requested which meets the HUD 5% de-minimis requirement). We have included the Amended RAD CHAP Award with this application. The proposed first phase will be developed on adjacent vacant property to the current Ben Hill site and consist of 70 units targeting seniors 55 and over. The entire Ben Hill site and the adjacent property are owned by LHA and are designated as a Master Planned Community.

As mentioned above, Ben Hill consists of 238 units and LHA will divide the development into three separate phases. The current make-up of the Ben Hill site is entirely multi-family. The proposed first redevelopment phase (Phoenix Landing) will consist of 70 units targeting seniors at 55 years an older. It is LHA's belief that segregating the senior from the family population allows for health, wellness and recreational services to be more targeted. Along with developing the proposed new senior housing, LHA is proposing to demolish approximately 10 buildings/42 units from the current Ben Hill development fronting Whitesville Road. By demolishing these buildings along Whitesville Road, space is created to develop a Linear Park extending from Handley Street (northern side of Ben Hill) to the beginning of the newly proposed Phoenix Landing development (approximately Mobile Home Drive- southern side of the current Ben Hill site). The linear park will include streetscaping, a walking path, and a gathering area for use by both the PHA residents and the general public. This new park will enhance the connectivity to LaGrange's downtown. LHA sees the Phoenix Landing senior development and the linear park as contributing to the City and community stakeholders' revitalization efforts on the Whitesville Road Corridor between HWY I-85 and downtown LaGrange. Also by creating the green space – linear park, the LHA believes this will contribute to the well-being of its residence and others in the community. The City and LaGrange and the Callaway Foundation have agreed to provide funding for demolishing and constructing the Linear Park. A commitment of \$600,000 from these two organizations has been secured and is part of this application in efforts to receive scoring points for off-site improvements associated with developing a green-space on adjacent property to the proposed Phoenix Landing site.

The proposed development, Phoenix Landing, will be located on Whitesville Road adjacent to the current Ben Hill site. The address of the property will be 1200 Whitesville Road and the property is vacant, wooded property consisting of approximately 7.9 of developable acreage. The current owner of the property is the LaGrange Housing Authority. The property is surrounded by the current Ben Hill site to the north, SF Homes and vacant property to the east, vacant property to the east, vacant property to the south and a small creek to the west. The proposed development will be a three-story interior corridor building consisting of 70 units, targeting the current seniors (55 and older) residing within the LaGrange Housing Authority's current housing portfolio that can afford rents at 60% of Area Median Income (AMI). All utilities are provided by the City of LaGrange.

The proposed financing will consists of 9% LIHTCs and a permanent loan from the LaGrange Housing Authority and the total development cost is approximately \$11,800,000. The proposed building is a three-story interior corridor building with a unit mix consisting of 21 1br, 40 2br and 9 3br with square footages of 730, 904 and 1100, respectively. The one and two bedroom units will have 1 full bath and the 3 bedroom units will have 2 full baths. All units are supported by HUD- RAD requirements and PBRA. Due to this financing structure, all the tenants currently residing in the demolished units of Ben Hill have the right to return to PHA or RAD units. Since this application will draw its tenants from existing elderly residents of the PHA, we have included a relocation plan detailing the moving of residents from the existing Ben Hill and Lucy Morgan site to the proposed new construction site of Phoenix Landing.

The DCA required amenities will consists of community room, exterior covered porch, on site laundry, elevators to all floors, furnished gathering areas in several locations and 100% of the units will be accessible. Additional amenities include covered pavilion with BBQ and picnic area and furnished arts & craft/activity center. Proposed unit amenities include built in washer/dryer hookups, luxury vinyl plank flooring, mini blinds, Energy Star refrigerator and dishwasher, microwave and an oven/stove combination with powder-based stovetop fire suppression canisters installed above the range cook top. All units will have individual HVAC system.

Building Design

The proposed development will be in compliance with the City of Lagrange as well as meet or exceed the Mandatory Design Criteria set forth by the Georgia Department of Community Affairs in the 2017 QAP. All exterior faces will be composed of an excess of 40% brick or stone. The roofing will be Energy Star shingles to help with the buildings total sustainability features.

Accessibility

The overall development and all units, as applicable, will be designed to meet state and federal accessibility and fair housing requirements, as defined by the Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988, Americans with Disabilities Act, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Georgia Fair Housing Law and Georgia Access Law set forth in the DCA 2016 Accessibility Manual. While the proposed development is setting aside at least 5% of its total units for the mobility impaired and at least 2% of its total units for the sensory impaired, 100% of the units will be fully adaptable.

Sustainability, Energy Efficiency and Healthy Living Environment

A key feature of Phoenix Landing will be its commitment to environmentally responsible construction and operation techniques. The building and all units therein will be Southface Earthcraft certified. The Earthcraft standard ensures that the building and its construction techniques meet certain standards for sustainability, energy efficiency and healthy living environment. Below are some of the features that will be included in the building design in order to meet the Earthcraft standard; the list is not exhaustive:

Compliance with 2009 International Energy Conservation Code (IECC)

HVAC system meets or exceeds the Energy Star specifications

Bathroom fans meet or exceed Energy Star specifications

Water heaters meet or exceed Energy Star specifications

Kitchen appliances meet or exceed Energy Star specifications

At least 80% of the lighting will be fluorescent

Plumbing fixtures designed for water conservation will be used

Low VOC wall paint and floor finishes will be used.

Target Population and Rents

Residents will be restricted to those who are 55 and older. All units will be income and rent restricted for seniors who earn 60% or below of the AMI. All units will be under a PBRA contract with an initial term of at least 15 years.

Transformational Communities

The proposed Phoenix Landing development is requesting 10 points associated with the Transformational Communities section of the 2017 QAP. Please note there is a not a formal community revitalization plan associated with the development but, as detailed in this application, the City of LaGrange and its community stakeholders are current making a committed effort to revitalized District 2. We are not claiming any points associated with a community revitalization plan but are requesting points under the Community Transformation Plan detailed in the 2017 QAP. The development team is requesting the following points under this section:

Community Based Team - 2 pts

Community Based Developer – LaGrange Housing Authority

Community Quarterback- Community Action for Improvement

Quality Transformation Plan - 4 pts

Community Investment – 4pts

Commitment to the Community Improvement Fund

Long Term Ground Lease

Third Party Capital Investment

Third Party Capital Investment – Linear Park adjacent to Phoenix Landings

The Ben Hill development is centrally located on Whitesville Road approximately 1 mile from the LaGrange downtown area and approximately one mile from HWY I-85. The City has designate the corridor of Whitesville Road as a high priority (Perkins +Will Gateway Corridor Plan) area for revitalization thereby increasing the City's ability to garner financial and other assistance in improving this corridor. The City of LaGrange and the Callaway Foundation have agreed to provide funding for demolishing and constructing the Linear Park. A commitment of \$600,000 from these two organizations has been secured and is part of this application in order to receive points for off-site improvements associated with developing a green-space on adjacent property to the proposed Phoenix Landing site. The City and Callaway's commitment reflects the desire to joint venture with the LHA in order to improve the Whitesville Corridor and to jump start the revitalization of this important corridor leading into downtown LaGrange. The City of LaGrange's commitment for \$300,000 and the Callaway's commitment of \$300,000 is included as part of this application. We are seeking the two points for off-site improvements as the \$600,000 represents an amount greater than 5% of the total development cost.

Relocation Plan

Based upon LHA's development strategy of converting its public housing units to HUD RAD, segregating the senior and family populations and the building newly constructed units, a relocation plan is required. All relocation will comply with the requirements of the applicable funding program, including the requirements of the Rental Assistance Demonstration (RAD) program, the Uniform Relocation Act, and Section 104(d) of the Housing & Community Development Act (each as applicable).

Since the development strategy consists of demolishing 42 units along Whitesville Road and building new construction (on adjacent property to the current Ben Hill site) of a three story interior corridor building, timing of the relocating residents in conjunction with the development schedule and a well thought out attrition plan will be implemented. Since the proposed Phoenix Landing development will have to be developed before the three-story building is placed in service (PIS), the demolition of the 42 units will occur 3-4 months before Phoenix Landing is PIS. Based upon the average monthly turnover of residents at the Ben Hill site, LHA will stop leasing out new units in order to account for at least the relocation of the 42 families within the needed timeframe. It should be noted that any resident moving out of the 42 units during the start of the attrition plan will not be replaced.

The relocation plan will have three phases: the first phase will consist of relocating the remaining families from the 42 units to the units available due to attrition at the Ben Hill remaining 196 (238-42) units. The second phase will consist of at the completion of the Phoenix Landing all seniors (estimated to be 47) residing and willing to relocate within the Ben Hill development will be relocated to the new development. The third phase will consist of relocating willing seniors from LHA's other development, Lucy Morgan, to the newly constructed Phoenix Landing.

West Georgia Star- Residential Service Provider for LaGrange Housing Authority

West Georgia Star is the resident service program provider for LHA and provides community based services targeting the promotion of self-sufficiency programs throughout the community. These services are primarily provided by volunteers or from a referring agency. The program operates 10 hours per day, 5 days a week and on the weekends as needed. These community based services are provided on Lucy Morgan Homes, Benjamin Harvey Hill Homes as well as various other sites, as contracted. Services provided include, but are not limited to:

Food Commodity Distribution

After School Enrichment Financial Management

Case Management

Clothing Disbursement

GED Tutoring

Health and Nutrition Training

Career Development

Fitness Training

Grief Counseling

Griei Couriseiini

Life Skills Training Adolescent Growth Skills

K-12 School Readiness

Transportation Services

All services listed above are provided by West Georgia Star or through a contractual partnership with another existing agency. The above services are currently being funded by the LHA. LHA is aware of the need to have self-sustaining residential services, therefore during the HUD-RAD conversion process, the housing authority is shifting its focus to establishing systems and relationships for on-going fund raising campaigns, strategic partnering and revenue generating strategies that will maintain the current level of services to the residents.

		PART ONE - PRO	JECT INFORMATIC	N - 2017-0 P	hoenix Landir	ng, LaGran	ge, Troup Co	unty			
	Please note:		naded cells are unlock							Use ONLY -	
	May 4 Davisian		shaded cells are unloc	ked for your us	e and do conta	in references	/formulas that o	can be overwrit	en.	201	17-0
	May 4 Revision	Yellow	cells - DCA Use ONLY								
I.	DCA RESOURCES	LIHTC (auto-filled fr	om later entries)	\$	689,226		DCA HOME	(from Conse	nt Form)	\$	-
II.	TYPE OF APPLICATION	Competitive Round		>	Pre-Applicat						e-App Nbr>>
				_	Have any cha	nges occurr	ed in the proj	ect since pre-	application?	N/A - no	pre-app
	Was this project previously submitted to the		Community Affairs?	Yes	If Yes, please	provide the		•			ed project:
	Project Name previously used:	Phoenix Landing						Nbr previous		16-071	
	Has the Project Team changed?		what was the DCA C	Qualification D	etermination to	or the Team	in that review	Qualified w	/out Condition	ns	
III.	APPLICANT CONTACT FOR APPLICATION							_			
	Name	Jay B. Ronca						Title	VP of Co-GF	& Developer	10
	Address	1544 South Main St	reet	ī				Direct Line		(404) 788-71	
	City State	Fyffe AL		7in . 4	35971	2/10/	7	Fax Cellular		(256) 623-39 (404) 788-71	
	Office Phone	(256) 417-4920		Zip+4 Ext.	224	E-mail	ironca@the	vantagegroup	hiz	(404) 700-71	UZ
	(Enter phone numbers without using hyphens, p	<u> </u>	234567890)	LAI.	224	L-IIIali	Ji Orica C tric	varitagegroup	.DIZ		
IV.	PROJECT LOCATION		,								
	Project Name	Phoenix Landing					Phased Pro	iect?		Yes- w/Maste	er Plan
	Site Street Address (if known)	1200 Whitesville Ro	ad				-	t Nbr of previo	ous phase:		
	Nearest Physical Street Address *	1220 Whitesville Ro	ad				Scattered S		No	Nbr of Sites	1
	Site Geo Coordinates (##.#####)	Latitude: 33.01	1920		85.014390		Acreage			9.7680	
	City	LaGrange		9-digit Zip^^	30240	-6719		Census Tra		9609.01	
	Site is predominantly located:	Within City Limits		County	Troup			QCT?	No	DDA?	Yes
	In USDA Rural Area?		CA Rural County?	Yes	Overall:	Rural		HUD SA:		Troup Co.	
	* If street number unknown	Congressiona		Senate	State I		_	erified by appl	-	lowing website	
	Legislative Districts **	3	1.	32	20)	Zip Codes	ulata.	http://zip4.us	ps.com/zip4/w	<u>elcome.jsp</u>
	If on boundary, other district:	011 - 61 - 0					Legislative Dis	_		<u>.019/</u>	
	Political Jurisdiction Name of Chief Elected Official	City of LaGrange Jimmy Thornton		Title	Mayor		Website	www.lagran	ge-ga.org		
	Address	200 Ridley Ave		Title	iviayui		City	LaGrange			
	Zip+4	30240-2726	Phone	1 ((706) 883-2000)	Email	mayor@lagi	range-ga.org		
V	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	00210 2720	1 110110		(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		Linaii	major = rag.	ango garong		
•.	A. Type of Construction:										
	New Construction		70]		Adaptive Re	use:	Non-historic	0	Historic	0
	Substantial Rehabilitation		0			Historic Reh				4	0
	Acquisition/Rehabilitation		0] 	>	For Acquisit	ion/Rehabilita	tion, date of c	riginal constru	ıction:	N/A

		TARTONE TROSECT				3/ 3					
	B. Mixed Use		No								
	C. Unit Breakdown			PBRA	D.	Unit Area					
	Number of Low Income Units		70	70					61,390		
	Number of 50% U		0	0	Total Unrestricted (Market) Residential Unit Square Footage				ootage	0	
	Number of 60% U		70	70			ntial Unit Squ				61,390
	Number of Unrestricted (Market Total Residential Units	t) Units	70					t Square Foot	age		0 61,390
	Common Space Units		0			Total Square Footage from Units					01,390
	Total Units		70								
	E. Buildings Number of Reside	ential Buildings	1			Total Commo	on Area Squa	re Footage fro	om Nonreside	ntial areas	14,940
	S .	esidential Buildings				Total Square	•	3			76,330
	Total Number of E	Buildings	1								
	F. Total Residential Parking Spa	aces	106			•	0 1	ment: DCA mir	nimum 1.5 spa	aces per unit t	or family
VI.	TENANCY CHARACTERISTICS					projects, 1 pe	er unit for sen	ior projects)			
	A. Family or Senior (if Senior, specif	y Elderly or HFOP)	Elderly]	If Other, spec	cify:				
						If combining C		Family		Elderly	
						Family or Sr, s	show # Units:	HFOP		Other	
	B. Mobility Impaired	Nbr of Units Equipped:	4			% of Total U			5.7%	Required:	5%
	Roll-In Showers	Nbr of Units Equipped:	2				r the Mobility-	Impaired	50.0%	Required:	40%
	C. Sight / Hearing Impaired	Nbr of Units Equipped:	2			% of Total U	nits		2.9%	Required:	2%
VII.	RENT AND INCOME ELECTIONS										
	A. Tax Credit Election		40% of Units	at 60% of AN	11						
	B. DCA HOME Projects Minimum	n Set-Aside Requirement (Rent	& Income)			20% of HO!	ME-Assisted I	Jnits at 50% o	f AMI		No
VIII.	SET ASIDES										
	A. LIHTC:	Nonprofit	No								
	B. HOME:	CHDO	No			(must be pre-qu	alified by DCA a	s CHDO)			
IX.	COMPETITIVE POOL		Rural]						
Χ.	TAX EXEMPT BOND FINANCED	PROJECT									
	Issuer:							Inducement I			
	Office Street Address N/A							Applicable Q			
	City		State		Zip+4		F	T-E Bond \$ A	Allocated:		
	Contact Name 10-Digit Office Phone		Title Direct line			Website	E-mail				
	10-Digit Office Phone		Direct line			Menzile					

PART ONE - PROJECT INFORMATION - 2017-0 Phoenix Landing, LaGrange, Troup County

	A14/A D.D. 1 1840	TATIONIO FOR	ALIBBELIT BAA	COLUBERTIES OF BOLINIE
ΧI		I A HONS FOR	CHINDENII DCA	COMPETITIVE ROUND

The following sections apply to all direct and indirect Owners, Developers and Consultants (Entity and Principal):

A. Number of Applications Submitted:

B. Amount of Federal Tax Credits in All Applications:

1,345,000

C. Names of Projects in which an Owner, Developer and Consultant(s) and each of its principals has a direct or indirect Ownership interest:

Project Participant	Name of Project	Interest	Project Participant	Name of Project	Interest
Phoenix Landing 2016, LP	Phoenix Landing	Direct	LHA Ben Hill GP 2016, LLC	Phoenix Landing	Direct
Vantage Partners 2016 Phoenix, LLC	Phoenix Landing	Direct	LaGrange Housing Authority	Phoenix Landing	Both
The Vantage Group, LLC	Phoenix Landing	Indirect	LaGrange Housing and Community Develop	Phoenix Landing	Indirect
Barron Group, Inc.	Phoenix Landing	Indirect	The Vantage Group, LLC	The Villas at Town Center	Indirect
Vantage Development, LLC	Phoenix Landing	Indirect	Barron Group, Inc.	The Villas at Town Center	Indirect
Lowell R. Barron, II	Phoenix Landing/The Villas at Town Center,	Both	Vantage Development, LLC	The Villas at Town Center	Indirect

D. Names of Projects in which the Owner, Developer and Consultant(s) and each of its principals is partnering with an inexperienced unrelated entity for purposes of meeting DCA Experience Requirements:

Project Participant	Name of Project	Project Participant	Name of Project	
N/A				

VΙ		ПΓ	ור	-c	•	וח	"	١т	ın	N	ı
ΧI	I.	PΕ	۲I	ᄗ	E	ĸ١	<i>i i</i>	١г	IU	I۱۷	ı

A. Subsequent Allocation

Year of Original Allocation

Original GHFA/DCA Project Number

First Year of Credit Period

Expiring Tax Credit (15 Year)

Date all buildings will complete 15 yr Compliance pd

B. Expiring Section 8

C. Expiring HUD

HUD funded affordable **non**public housing project

INO	
No	

No

No

No

First Building ID Nbr in Project Last Building ID Nbr in Project

iA-	
iA-	

HUD funded affordable public housing project

No
110

PART ONE - PROJECT INFORMATION - 2017-0 Phoenix Landing, LaGrange, Troup County

XIII. ADDITIONAL PROJECT INF	ORMATION				
A. PHA Units					
	of a local public housing replacement progra		No		
	g Units reserved and rented to public housi		70	% of Total Residential Units	100%
Nbr of Units Reserved and		Household	s on Waiting List: 70	% of Total Residential Units 0%	100%
Local PHA Street Address	LaGrange Housing Authority 201 Chatham Street			Contact Zsa Zsa Heard Direct line (706) 882-6416	
City	LaGrange	Zip+4	30240-5313	Cellular (706) 594-9017	
Area Code / Phone	(706) 882-6416	Email	zhearded@phalagrange		
B. Existing properties: cur	rently an Extension of Cancellation Opti		If yes, expiration year		n:
New properties: to exerc	cise an Extension of Cancellation Option	n? Yes	If yes, expiration year	: 2044 Nbr yrs to forgo cancellation option	n: 5
C. Is there a Tenant Owner	ship Plan?	No]		
D. Is the Project Currently	Occupied?	No	If Yes>:	<i>y</i>	
				Number Occupied	
E Maissan and an Day Ann	and the second s	/a		% Existing Occupied	
Amenities?	provals - have the following waivers and/	No	ipproved by DCA?	Qualification Determination?	No
Architectural Standards?		No	-	Payment and Performance Bond (HOME only)?	No
	Site Analysis Packet or Feasibility study?	No	-	Other (specify):	No
HOME Consent?	, ,	No		State Basis Boost (extraordinary circumstances)	No
Operating Expense?		No		>:	
Credit Award Limitation (e	extraordinary circumstances)?	No	If Yes, new Limit is	>;	
F. Projected Place-In-Servi	ice Date		-		
Acquisition			=		
Rehab	r	Docombor 21, 2010	-		
New Construction		December 31, 2019			
	S AND CLARIFICATIONS		XV.	DCA COMMENTS - DCA USE ONLY	
	e cancellation option for 5 years. We expect the aced in service deate, the owner will extend for the content of the content o				
	incipal that is involved in 2 applications.	the 5 year period. Lowell IX.	Darron, n		
. μ	.,,				

PART TWO - DEVELOPMENT TEAM INFORMATION - 2017-0 Phoenix Landing, LaGrange, Troup County

Do NOT delete this tab from this workbook. Do NOT Copy from another workbook to "Paste" here. Use "Paste Special" and select "Values" instead.

ı	OWNERSH	IID I	VIEUDI	/ΛΤΙ	UNI
I.	UWWILKSE	11F I	INCORN	лΑп	UNIV

A. OWNERSHIP ENTITY	Phoenix Landing 2016, LP			Name of Principal	Lowell R. Barron, II
Office Street Address	1544 South Main Street			Title of Principal	President of Co-GP
City			TBD	Direct line	(256) 997-6659
State	AL Zip+4 35971-3		Org Type: For Profit	Cellular	(256) 997-6659
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	(256) 417-4920 295	E-mail	lbarron@thevantagegroup.biz		
(Enter phone nbrs w/out using hyphen	s, parentheses, etc - ex: 1234567890)		* Must be	verified by applicant usi	ng following website:
B. PROPOSED PARTNERSHIP INFORMA	ATION		httn://zin4.u	sps.com/zip4/welcome.jsp	
1. GENERAL PARTNER(S)			mtp://zip na.	Sp3.com/2ip i/weicome.jsp	
a. Managing Gen'l Partner	Vantage Partners 2016 Phoenix, LLC			Name of Principal	Lowell R. Barron, II
Office Street Address	1544 South Main Street			Title of Principal	President
City		Website	www.thevantagegroup.biz	Direct line	(256) 997-6659
State	AL	Zip+4	35971-3484	Cellular	(256) 997-6659
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	(256) 417-4920 295		lbarron@thevantagegroup.biz		.,
b. Other General Partner	LHA Ben Hill GP 2016, LLC			Name of Principal	Zsa Zsa Heard
Office Street Address	201 Chatham Street			Title of Principal	Executive Director
City		Website	N/A	Direct line	(706) 882-6416
State	GA	Zip+4	30240-5313	Cellular	(706) 594-9017
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	(706) 882-6416 295		zhearded@phalagrange.net	Cclididi	(100) 011 1011
**	N/A	Liliuii	Zirodi dod – pridiagrangomot	Name of Delinational	
c. Other General Partner	IV/A			Name of Principal	
Office Street Address		Website		Title of Principal	
City State				Direct line Cellular	
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		Zip+4 E-mail		Cellulai	
• •	OD ACTUAL)	L-IIIaII			
2. LIMITED PARTNERS (PROPOSED					
a. Federal Limited Partner	TBD - US Bank			Name of Principal	
Office Street Address		111111		Title of Principal	
City		Website		Direct line	
State		Zip+4		Cellular	
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		E-mail			
b. State Limited Partner	TBD - US Bank			Name of Principal	
Office Street Address				Title of Principal	
City		Website		Direct line	
State		Zip+4		Cellular	
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		E-mail			
3. NONPROFIT SPONSOR					
Nonprofit Sponsor	N/A			Name of Principal	
Office Street Address				Title of Principal	
City		Website		Direct line	
State		Zip+4		Cellular	
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		E-mail	•		

PART TWO - DEVELOPMENT TEAM INFORMATION - 2017-0 Phoenix Landing, LaGrange, Troup County

Do NOT delete this tab from this workbook. Do NOT Copy from another workbook to "Paste" here. Use "Paste Special" and select "Values" instead.

II.	DEVEL	.OPER(S)
•••			ν,

II.	DEVELOPER(S)	· ·				
	A. DEVELOPER Office Street Address City	Vantage Development, LLC 1544 South Main Street Fyffe	Website	www.thevantagegroup.biz	Name of Principal Title of Principal Direct line	Lowell R. Barron, II President (256) 997-6659
	State	AL	Zip+4	35971-3484	Cellular	(256) 997-6659
	10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	(256) 417-4920 224	E-mail	lbarron@thevantagegroup.biz		(
	B. CO-DEVELOPER 1 Office Street Address City	LaGrange Housing and Community 201 Chatham Street LaGrange	Developme Website	nt Co., LLC www.phalagrange.net	Name of Principal Title of Principal Direct line	Zsa Zsa Heard President (706) 882-6416
	State 10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	GA (706) 882-6416	Zip+4 E-mail	30240-5313 zhearded@phalagrange.net	Cellular	(706) 594-9017
	C. CO-DEVELOPER 2 Office Street Address City State 10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	N/A	Website Zip+4 E-mail		Name of Principal Title of Principal Direct line Cellular	
	D. DEVELOPMENT CONSULTANT Office Street Address City State 10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	N/A	Website Zip+4 E-mail		Name of Principal Title of Principal Direct line Cellular	
III.	OTHER PROJECT TEAM MEMBERS					
	A. OWNERSHIP CONSULTANT Office Street Address City State 10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	N/A	Website Zip+4 E-mail		Name of Principal Title of Principal Direct line Cellular	
	B. GENERAL CONTRACTOR Office Street Address City State	Fyffe Construction Company, Inc. 1544 South Main Street Fyffe	Website Zip+4	www.fyffeconstruction.com 35971-3484	Name of Principal Title of Principal Direct line Cellular	Lowell R. Barron, II President (256) 417-4920 (256) 997-6659
	10-Digit Office Phone / Ext. C. MANAGEMENT COMPANY Office Street Address City State	Vantage Management, Llc 1544 South Main Street Fyffe AL	E-mail Website Zip+4	www.thevantagegroup.biz 35971-3484	Name of Principal Title of Principal Direct line Cellular	Lowell R. barron, II President (256) 997-6659 (256) 997-6659
	10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	(256) 417-4921 208	F-mail	lbarron@thevantagegroup.biz	Geliulai	[(200) //1-000/

	DAE	OT TWO DEVELOPMENT TEAM INCO	DMATION	2017 0 Diversity I amiliar	L . O	Second Consults	
		RT TWO - DEVELOPMENT TEAM INFO					
	ab from t	this workbook. Do NOT Copy from an	nother workl	book to "Paste" here . Use	"Paste Spec		
D. ATTORNEY		Balch & Bingham, LLP				Name of Principal	Matt Aiken
Office Street Address		1901 Sixth Ävenue North				Title of Principal	Partner
City		Birmingham	Website	www.balch.com		Direct line '	(205) 226-3425
State		AL	Zip+4	35203-4642		Cellular	(205) 335-8539
10-Digit Office Phone	/ Evt	(205) 226-3425 N/A	E-mail	maiken@balch.com		Octivial	(200) 000 0007
10-Digit Office Friorie	/ LXI.	(203) 220-3423 N/A	L-IIIaii	IIIdiken@balcn.com			
E. ACCOUNTANT		Baker Tilly Virchow Krause, LLP				Name of Principal	Don Bernards
Office Street Address		Ten Terrace Court (P O Box 7398)				Title of Principal	Partner
City		Madison	Website	N/A		Direct line	(608) 240-2643
State		WI	Zip+4	53707-7398		Cellular	(608) 444-4009
10-Digit Office Phone	/ Evt	(608) 240-2643 N/A	E-mail	donald.bernards@bakertilly	ı com	Celiulai	(000) 444 4007
10-Digit Office Friorie	/ LXI.	(000) 240-2043 N/A	L-IIIaii	donald.bernalds@bakertilly	7.00111		
F. ARCHITECT		Make3				Name of Principal	Jim Winer
Office Street Address		1075 Brady Avenue NW				Title of Principal	Principal
City		Atlanta	Website	www.make3arch.com		Direct line	(404) 876-5510
State		GA	Zip+4	30318-5527		Cellular	(404) 876-5510
10-Digit Office Phone	/ Evt	(404) 876-5510 118	E-mail	jwiner@make3arch.com		Ccilulai	(404) 070 0010
· ·							
		Answer each of the questions below				1	70/ 000 / 11/
A. LAND SELLER (If applicable	le)	LaGrange Housing Authority	Principal	Zsa Zsa Heard		10-Digit Phone / Ext.	706-882-6416
Office Street Address		201 Chatham Street				City	LaGrange
State		GA Zip+4 30240	0-5313	E-mail zhearded@ph	nalagrange.ne	et	
B. IDENTITY OF INTEREST							
Is there an ID of interest between:	Yes/No	If Yes, explain relationship in boxes pro	ovided below,	and use Comment box at bo	ottom of this ta	ab or attach additional p	ages as needed:
 Developer and 	Yes	Vantage Development, LLC and Fyffe Construct	ion Company, Ir	c. are both owned by Lowell R. Bar	ron, II		
Contractor?							
Contractor:							
2. Buyer and Seller of	Yes	The LaGrange Housing Authority (LHA) is provide	ding the partners	hip with a long-term ground lease for	or a nominal fee.	A subsidiary of LHA is also a	a CO-GP of the partnerhsip that is
Land/Property?		executing the long-term ground lease.					
, ,							
3. Owner and Contractor?	Yes	LowellR. Barron, II is the President of the Co-GP	and the sole Pr	incipal of Fyffe Construction			
4. Owner and Consultant?							
Syndicator and							
Developer?							
6. Syndicator and							
Contractor?							
7 December on the							
Developer and							
Consultant?							
0 Othor	Vac	Vantage Management, LLC, s proeprty manager	r is also owned	hy Lowell D. Barron, II			
8. Other	Yes	variage management, LLC, s proepity manager	i, is also owiled	uy Luwell R. Dallull, II			

PART TWO - DEVELOPMENT TEAM INFORMATION - 2017-0 Phoenix Landing, LaGrange, Troup County

Do NOT delete this tab from this workbook. Do NOT Copy from another workbook to "Paste" here. Use "Paste Special" and select "Values" instead.

V. OTHER REQUIRED INFORMATION - Continued (Answer each of the questions below for each participant listed below.)

C. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Participant	1. Has any person, principal, or agent for this e	ntity ever	2. Is entity	3. Org Type	4. Project	5. Does this entity or a member of this entity have a conflict of interest		
	been convicted of a felony (Yes or No)?	?	a MBE/	(FP,NP,	Ownership	member, officer, or employee of an entity that partners or contracts		
			WBE?	CHDO)	Percentage	Applicant? If yes, explain briefly in boxes below and use Commer		
]		,	3	the bottom of this tab or attach explanation.		
	If yes, explain briefly in boxes below and either use						and bottom of time tables attack on prantations	
	Comment box or attach explanation.	Yes/No				Yes/No	Brief Explanation	
Managing		No	No	For Profit	0.0055%	No		
Genrl Prtnr					0.000070			
Other Genrl		No	No	For Profit	0.0045%	No		
Prtnr 1								
Other Genrl								
Prtnr 2								
Federal Ltd		No	No	For Profit	98.9900%	No		
Partner								
State Ltd		No	No	For Profit	1.0000%	No		
Partner								
NonProfit								
Sponsor								
Developer		No	No	For Profit	0.0000%	No		
_								
Co-		No	No	For Profit	0.0000%	No		
Developer 1								
Co-								
Developer 2								
Owner								
Consultant								
Developer								
Consultant			N.I.	E D (:	0.000001			
Contractor		No	No	For Profit	0.0000%	No		
Managemen		No	No	For Profit	0.0000%	No		
t Company								
				Total	100.0000%		·	

APPLICANT COMMENTS AND CLARIFICATIONS

DCA COMMENTS - DCA USE ONLY

VI.

PART TWO - DEVELOPMENT TEAM INFORMATION - 2017-0 Phoenix Landing, LaGrange, Troup County Do NOT delete this tab from this workbook. Do NOT Copy from another workbook to "Paste" here. Use "Paste Special" and select "Values" instead. Vantage Partners 2016 Phoenix, LLC (GP), Vantage Development, LLC (Developer), Fyffe Construction Company, Inc. (General Contractor) and Vantage management, LLC (Management Company) are all owned by Lowell R. Barron, II. LaGrange Housing Authroity owns affiliates acting as CO-GP and CO-Developer. LaGrange Housing Authority is providing the partnership with a long-term ground lease for a nominal fee, so we completed the section IV.A. for the "Land Seller", even though there's not a sale. Section III. E. the partnership has two accountants, the 2nd of which is Cone & Smith, PC (David Smith, Principal), 3421 Rainbow Parkway, Rainbow City, AL 35906-3206, Phone 256-413-3057, cell 256-390-5972 and email. dsmith@coneandsmith.com

I. GOVERNMENT FUNDING SOURCES (check all that apply)

Yes	Tax Credits	No	FHA Risk Share	No	Georgia TCAP *
No	Historic Rehab Credits	No	FHA Insured Mortgage	No	USDA 515
No	Tax Exempt Bonds: \$	No	Replacement Housing Funds	No	USDA 538
No	Taxable Bonds	No	McKinney-Vento Homeless	No	USDA PBRA
No	CDBG	No	FHLB / AHP *	No	Section 8 PBRA
No	HUD 811 Rental Assistance Demonstration (RAD)	No	NAHASDA	Yes	Other PBRA - Source: HUD RAD
No	DCA HOME * Amt \$	No	Neigborhood Stabilization Program *	No	National Housing Trust Fund
No	Other HOME * Amt \$	No	HUD CHOICE Neighborhoods	Yes	LaGrange Housing Authority Capital Funds
	Other HOME - Source Specify Other HOME Source here		_		Specify Administrator of Other Funding Type here

^{*}This source may possibly trigger Uniform Relocation Act and/or HUD 104(d) regmts. Check with source. For DCA HOME, refer to Relocation Manual. DCA HOME amount from DCA Consent Ltr.

II. CONSTRUCTION FINANCING

Financing Type Name of Financing Enti		Name of Financing Entity	Amount	Effective Interest Rate	Term (In Months)
Mortgage A		US Bank	6,700,000	4.500%	24
Mortgage B		LaGrange Housing Authority	1,200,000	1.000%	24
Mortgage C					
Federal Grant					
State, Local, or Private Grant	t				
Deferred Developer Fees					
Federal Housing Credit Equit	ty	US Bank	1,171,567		
State Housing Credit Equity		US Bank	937,347		
Other Type (specify)					
Other Type (specify)					
Other Type (specify)					
Total Construction Financing:			10,008,914		
Total Construction Period Costs from Development Budget:			9,368,083		
Surplus / (Shortage) of Construction funds to Construction costs:			640,831		

PERMANENT FINANCING

I ERWANEINT I INANCINO			Effortive	Т	A	Annual Daht Candaa in	
Financing Type	Name of Financing Entity	Principal Amount	Effective Int Rate	Term (Years)	Amort. (Years)	Annual Debt Service in Year One	Loan Type
Mortgage A (Lien Position 1)	LaGrange Housing Authority	1,200,000	1.000%	20	20	66,225	Amortizing
Mortgage B (Lien Position 2)	20 orango modoling mainoring	.,200,000	1100070	20	20	30,223	7g
Mortgage C (Lien Position 3)							
Other:							
Foundation or charity funding*							
Deferred Devlpr Fee 0.30%	LaGrange Housing Authority/Vantage	4,268					
Total Cash Flow for Years 1 - 15:	334,761					•	
DDF Percent of Cash Flow (Yrs 1-15	1.275% 1.275%						
Cash flow covers DDF P&I?	Yes						
Federal Grant							
State, Local, or Private Grant				<u>Equity</u>	Check	<u>+ / -</u>	TC Equity
Federal Housing Credit Equity	US Bank	5,858,421		5,85	8,421	0.00	% of TDC
State Housing Credit Equity	US Bank	4,686,737		4,68	6,737	0.20	50%
Historic Credit Equity							40%
Invstmt Earnings: T-E Bonds							90%
Invstmt Earnings: Taxable Bonds							
Income from Operations							
Other:							
Other:							
Other:							
Total Permanent Financing:		11,749,426					
Total Development Costs from D	evelopment Budget:	11,749,426					
Surplus/(Shortage) of Permanent	funds to development costs:	0					
	costs exceeding DCA cost limit (see Appendix I, Sect	ion II).					

^{*}Foun

IV. APPLICANT COMMENTS AND CLARIFICATIONS

IV. DCA COMMENTS - DCA USE ONLY LaGrange Housing Authority is providing \$1.2MM as construction and permanent financing for the project. The commitment is in Tab 36 and Tab 01.

PART FOUR - USES OF FUNDS - 2017-0 Phoenix Landing, LaGrange, Troup County New Amortizable or I. DEVELOPMENT BUDGET Acquisition Rehabilitation Non-Depreciable Construction Basis **Basis TOTAL COST Basis Basis** PRE-DEVELOPMENT COSTS PRE-DEVELOPMENT COSTS 8,500 8,500 Property Appraisal 7,500 7,500 Market Study 24,000 24,000 Environmental Report(s) 10,000 10,000 Soil Borings 15,000 15,000 Boundary and Topographical Survey Zoning/Site Plan Fees Other: Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> 65,000 65,000 Subtotal ACQUISITION ACQUISITION Land Site Demolition Acquisition Legal Fees (if existing structures) **Existing Structures** Subtotal LAND IMPROVEMENTS LAND IMPROVEMENTS Site Construction (On-site) Per acre: 128,481 1,255,000 1,255,000 Site Construction (Off-site) 1,255,000 1,255,000 Subtotal **STRUCTURES STRUCTURES** Residential Structures - New Construction 5,900,000 5,900,000 Residential Structures - Rehab Accessory Structures (ie. community bldg, maintenance bldg, etc.) - New Constr Accessory Structures (ie. community bldg, maintenance bldg, etc.) - Rehab 5,900,000 5,900,000 Subtotal CONTRACTOR SERVICES CONTRACTOR SERVICES **DCA Limit** 14.000% 429.300 **Builder Profit:** 6.000% 429,300 6.000% 429,300 143,100 143,100 2.000% 143,100 2.000% **Builder Overhead** 6.000% 429,300 6.000% 429,300 429,300 General Requirements* 1,001,700 1,001,700 *See QAP: General Requirements policy 14.000% 1.001.700 Subtotal OTHER CONSTRUCTION HARD COSTS (Non-GC work scope items done by Owner) OTHER CONSTRUCTION HARD COSTS (Non-GC work scope items done by Owner) Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>

T otal	C onstruction	H ard	<u>C</u> osts
8,156,	700.00		

 Average TCHC:

 116,524.29 per Res'l unit 132.87 per Res'l unit SF

 116,524.29 per unit 116,524.29 per

CONSTRUCTION CONTINGENCY

Construction Contingency 5.00%

CONSTRUCTION CONTINGENCY

I. DEVELOPMENT BUDGET (cont'd)		New	Acquisition	Rehabilitation	Amortizable or
	TOTAL COST	Construction Basis	Basis	Basis	Non-Depreciable Basis
CONSTRUCTION PERIOD FINANCING		Duoio	CONSTRUCTION P	ERIOD FINANCING	Buolo
Bridge Loan Fee					
Bridge Loan Interest					
Construction Loan Fee	82,000	82,000			
Construction Loan Interest	130,000	130,000			
Construction Legal Fees	25,000	25,000			
Construction Period Inspection Fees	18,000	18,000			
Construction Period Real Estate Tax					
Construction Insurance	44,788	44,788			
Title and Recording Fees	25,000	25,000			
Payment and Performance bonds	45,780	45,780			
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>					
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>					
Subtota	al 370,568	370,568	-	-	-
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES			PROFESSION	AL SERVICES	
Architectural Fee - Design	140,000	140,000			
Architectural Fee - Supervision	35,000	35,000			
Green Building Consultant Fee Max: 20,000	•				
Green Building Program Certification Fee (LEED or Earthcraft)	55,000	55,000			
Accessibility Inspections and Plan Review	20,000	20,000			
Construction Materials Testing	•				
Engineering	50,000	50,000			
Real Estate Attorney	90,000	90,000			
Accounting	75,000	75,000			
As-Built Survey	8,500	8,500			
Other:		.,			
Subtota	al 473,500	473,500	-	-	-
LOCAL GOVERNMENT FEES Avg per unit: 2,581			LOCAL GOVE	RNMENT FEES	
Building Permits	4,166	4,166			
Impact Fees	510	510			
Water Tap Fees waived?	71,000	71,000			
Sewer Tap Fees waived?	105,000	105,000			
Subtota		180,676	-	-	-
PERMANENT FINANCING FEES			PERMANENT FI	NANCING FEES	
Permanent Loan Fees	12,000				12,000
Permanent Loan Legal Fees	20,000				20,000
Title and Recording Fees	5,000				5,000
Bond Issuance Premium	2/220				5,230
Cost of Issuance / Underwriter's Discount					
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>					
Subtota	al 37,000				37,000
Jubiolo	2.,000				3.,550

. DEVELOPMENT BUDGET (cont'd)			New	Acquisition	Rehabilitation	Amortizable or
, ,		TOTAL COST	Construction Basis	Basis	Basis	Non-Depreciable Basis
DCA-RELATED COSTS			Dasis	DCA-RFL	ATED COSTS	Dasis
DCA HOME Loan Pre-Application Fee (\$1000 FP/JV, \$500 NP)		6,500		20/11/22		6,500
Tax Credit Application Fee (\$6500 ForProf/JntVent, \$5500 NonProf)		5/222				5,000
DCA Waiver and Pre-approval Fees						
LIHTC Allocation Processing Fee	55,138	55,139				55,139
LIHTC Compliance Monitoring Fee	56,000	56,000				56,000
DCA HOME Front End Analysis Fee (when ID of Interest; \$3000)						
DCA Final Inspection Fee (Tax Credit only - no HOME; \$3000)						
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part I'						
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part I'	V-b >>					
	Subtotal	117,639				117,639
EQUITY COSTS				EQUIT	Y COSTS	
Partnership Organization Fees		2,500				2,500
Tax Credit Legal Opinion		5,000				5,000
Syndicator Legal Fees		25,000				25,000
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part I'						
	Subtotal	32,500				32,500
DEVELOPER'S FEE	,			DEVELO	PER'S FEE	
Developer's Overhead	0.000%					
Consultant's Fee	0.000%					
Guarantor Fees	0.000%					
Developer's Profit	100.000%	1,431,000	1,431,000			
	Subtotal	1,431,000	1,431,000	-	-	-
START-UP AND RESERVES	i			START-UP A	ND RESERVES	
Marketing		60,000				60,000
Rent-Up Reserves	62,577	62,577				62,577
Operating Deficit Reserve:	158,266	158,266				158,266
Replacement Reserve	74.4	50,000	50.000			
Furniture, Fixtures and Equipment Proposed Avg Per Unit:	714	50,000	50,000			F0 000
Other: Community Investment Fund	0.1.1.1	50,000	F0.000			50,000
OTHER COCTO	Subtotal	380,843	50,000	-	-	330,843
OTHER COSTS	i	00.000	00.000	OTHE	RCOSTS	
Relocation		90,000	90,000			/ 500
Other: Community Transformation Plan	Culatata	6,500	00.000			6,500
	Subtotal	96,500	90,000	-	-	6,500
TOTAL <u>D</u> EVELOPMENT <u>C</u> OST (TDC)		11,749,426	11,224,944	-	-	524,482
Average TDC Per: Unit: 167,848.94 S	Square Foot:	153.93				

II. TAX CREDIT CALCULATION - BASIS METHOD	New Construction	4% Acquisition	Rehabilitation	
Subtractions From Eligible Basis	Basis	Basis	Basis	
Amount of federal grant(s) used to finance qualifying development costs				
Amount of nonqualified nonrecourse financing				
Costs of Nonqualifying units of higher quality				
Nonqualifying excess portion of higher quality units				
Historic Tax Credits (Residential Portion Only)				
Other < Enter detailed description here; use Comments section if needed>				
Total Subtractions From Basis:	0		0	
Eligible Basis Calculation				
Total Basis	11,224,944	0	0	
Less Total Subtractions From Basis (see above)	0		0	
Total Eligible Basis	11,224,944	0	0	
Eligible Basis Adjustment (DDA/QCT Location or State Designated Boost) Type: DDA/QCT	130.00%			
Adjusted Eligible Basis	14,592,427	0	0	
Multiply Adjusted Eligible Basis by Applicable Fraction	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	
Qualified Basis	14,592,427	0	0	
Multiply Qualified Basis by Applicable Credit Percentage	9.00%			
Maximum Tax Credit Amount	1,313,318	0	0	
Total Basis Method Tax Credit Calculation		1,313,318		
III. TAX CREDIT CALCULATION - GAP METHOD				
Equity Gap Calculation				
Project Cost Limit (PCL) - Explain in Comments if Applicant's PCL calculation > QAP PCL.	11,752,531	If TDC > QAP Total PCL	, provide amount of funding	If proposed project has
\underline{T} otal \underline{D} evelopment \underline{C} ost (TDC, PCL, or TDC less Foundation Funding; explain in Comments if TDC > PCL)	11,749,426	from foundation or charita	ble organization to cover the	ne Historic Designation,
Subtract Non-LIHTC (excluding deferred fee) Source of Funds	1,200,000	cost excee	ding the PCL:	indicate below (Y/N):
Equity Gap	10,549,426	Funding Amount	0	Hist Desig
Divide Equity Gap by 10	/ 10	_		_
Annual Equity Required	1,054,943	Federal	State	
Enter Final Federal and State Equity Factors (not including GP contribution)	1.5300	= 0.8500	+ 0.6800	
Total Gap Method Tax Credit Calculation	689,505			
TAX CREDIT PROJECT MAXIMUM - Lower of Basis Method, Gap Method or DCA Limit:	689,505			
TAX CREDIT REQUEST - Cannot exceed Tax Credit Project Maximum, but may be lower:	689,226			
IV. TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION - Lower of Tax Credit Request and Tax Credit Project Maximum	689,226			

V. APPLICANT COMMENTS AND CLARIFICATIONS	VI.	DCA COMMENTS - DCA USE ONLY	
Construction hard costs were provided to us by our General Contractor. They were derived by considering the specifics fo the project site, its inherent characteristics, requirements of city zoning and building codes, amenities, DCA requirements and by evaluating similar projects with similar units and applying estimates for material and labor current costs. Backup documentation for local fees located in Tab 01:Feasibility.			

PART FOUR (b) - OTHER COSTS - 2017-0 - Phoenix Landing - LaGrange - Troup, County

DCA requires the Applicant provide a narrative for all "Other" development costs listed on Part IV-Uses of Funds. For any amounts shown below the Applicant needs to provide an explanation of the cost incurred by the development. The narrative should be a brief description for DCA intended to justify its inclusion as a valid development cost. Further, if the "Other" cost is included into eligible basis, a second narrative is required describing its justification into tax credit basis. Expand row size as needed to show text.

Section Name Section's Other Line Item	Description/Nature of Cost	Basis Justification
PRE-DEVELOPMENT COSTS		
0		
Total Cost - Total Basis -		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost - Total Basis -		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost - Total Basis -		

DEVELOPMENT COST SCHEDULE Section Name Section's Other Line Item	Description/Nature of Cost	Basis Justification
OTHER CONSTRUCTION HARD COSTS		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost - Total Basis -		
CONSTRUCTION PERIOD FINANCING		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost - Total Basis -		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost - Total Basis -		
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES		
Total Cost - Total Basis -		

DEVELOPMENT COST SCHEDULE Section Name Section's Other Line Item	Description/Nature of Cost	Basis Justification
PERMANENT FINANCING FEES		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost -		
DCA-RELATED COSTS		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost -		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost -		
EQUITY COSTS		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost -		

2017 Funding Application

Housing Finance and Development Division

DEVELOPMENT COST SCHEDULE Section Name Section's Other Line Item

Description/Nature of Cost

Basis Justification

DEVELOPMENT COST SCHEDULE Section Name Section's Other Line Item	Description/Nature of Cost	Basis Justification		
START-UP AND RESERVES				
Community Investment Fund Total Cost 50,000 Total Basis				
OTHER COSTS				
Community Transformation Plan	This amount was used to hire a professional who was able to perform a survey for the Community Transformation Plan outreach and public engagement process. Also, this amouunt includes a grant writer for the Callaway Foundation for the commitment of offsite improvements.			
Total Cost 6.500 Total Basis				

2017 Funding Application

PART FIVE - UTILITY ALLOWANCES - 2017-0 Phoenix Landing, LaGrange, Troup County

DCA Utility Region for project: North

Note: Elderly allowances cannot be used except at properties that have 100% HUD PBRA and satisfy the DCA definition of "elderly"

. UTILITY ALLOWANCE SCHEDULE #1		Source of Utility Allowances		Housing Authority of LaGrange, GA				
		Date of Utili	ty Allowances	July 1, 2015		Structure 3+ Story		
		Paid By (c	check one)	Tenant-I	Paid Utility A	llowances by	/ Unit Size (#	Bdrms)
Utility	Fuel	Tenant	Owner	Efficiency	1	2	3	4
Heat	Electric	Х			14	16	18	
Cooking	Electric	Х			14	15	16	
Hot Water	Electric	X			9	10	12	
Air Conditioning	Electric	X			20	20	22	
Range/Microwave	Electric	Х			15	16	18	
Refrigerator	Electric	Х			19	27	34	
Other Electric	Electric	Х						
Water & Sewer	Submetered*? Yes							
Refuse Collection	-		Х					
Total Utility Allowa	nce by Unit Size			0	91	104	120	0
II. UTILITY ALLOWAN	CE SCHEDULE #2	Source of U	Itility Allowances					
		Data of Litili	ty Allowances					
		Date of Othi	ty Allowances			Structure		
			check one)	Tenant-l	Paid Utility A	Structure Illowances by	/ Unit Size (#	# Bdrms)
Utility	Fuel		•	Tenant-l Efficiency	Paid Utility A	1	/ Unit Size (# 3	Bdrms) 4
Utility Heat	Fuel < <select fuel="">></select>	Paid By (c	check one)		Paid Utility A	llowances by	-	
		Paid By (c	check one)		Paid Utility A	llowances by	-	
Heat	< <select fuel="">></select>	Paid By (c	check one)		Paid Utility A	llowances by	-	
Heat Cooking	< <select fuel="">> <<select fuel="">></select></select>	Paid By (c	check one)		Paid Utility A	llowances by	-	
Heat Cooking Hot Water	< <select fuel="">> <<select fuel="">> <<select fuel="">></select></select></select>	Paid By (c	check one)		Paid Utility A	llowances by	-	
Heat Cooking Hot Water Air Conditioning	< <select fuel="">> <<select fuel="">> <<select fuel="">> Electric</select></select></select>	Paid By (c	check one)		Paid Utility A	llowances by	-	
Heat Cooking Hot Water Air Conditioning Range/Microwave	< <select fuel="">> <<select fuel="">> <<select fuel="">> Electric Electric</select></select></select>	Paid By (c	check one)		Paid Utility A	llowances by	-	
Heat Cooking Hot Water Air Conditioning Range/Microwave Refrigerator	< <select fuel="">> <<select fuel="">> <<select fuel="">> Electric Electric Electric</select></select></select>	Paid By (c	check one)		Paid Utility A	llowances by	-	
Heat Cooking Hot Water Air Conditioning Range/Microwave Refrigerator Other Electric	< <select fuel="">> <<select fuel="">> <<select fuel="">> Electric Electric Electric Electric Electric</select></select></select>	Paid By (c	check one)		Paid Utility A	llowances by	-	

^{*}New Construction units MUST be sub-metered.

APPLICANT COMMENTS AND CLARIFICATIONS

Please note the LaGrange Housing Authority received a multiphase RAD Chap Award, whereby it chose PBRA. The utility alloowance was determined by a utility study performed in 2015 at the time of the RAD application. HUD RAD CHAP awards are set with the utility allownaces and rents at the time of the RAD CHAP award. Since the units are supported by PBRA, the gross max rents limits do not apply.

DCA COMMENTS

2017 Funding Application

PART SIX - PROJECTED REVENUES & EXPENSES - 2017-0 Phoenix Landing, LaGrange, Troup County

I. RENT SCHEDULE Do NOT cut, copy or paste cells in this tab. Complete ALL columns. For Common Space (non-income producing) units, select "N/A-CS" for Rent Type and "Common Space" for Employee Unit.

IOME proje					Floating		Utility	PBRA			MSA/NonMS	SA:	AMI	Certifie
re 100% o	t units H	UD PBR	A?	Yes	Max	Pro-posed	Allowance	Provider or			Troup Co.		52,000	Historio
					Gross		(UA Sched 1 UA, so	- 1						Deeme
Rent	Nbr of	No. of	Unit	Unit	Rent	Gross	over-write if UA	Subsidy ***		Net Rent	Employee	Building	Type of	Historic
Туре	Bdrms	Baths	Count	Area	Limit	Rent	Sched 2 used)	(See note below)	Per Unit	Total	Unit	Design Type	Activity	(See QAF
60% AMI	1	1.0	7	730	487	475	91	RAD	384	2,688	No	3+ Story	New Construction	No
60% AMI	1	1.0	14	730	585	475	91	RAD	384	5,376	No	3+ Story	New Construction	No
60% AMI	2	1.0	40	904	702	567	104	RAD	463	18,520	No	3+ Story	New Construction	No
60% AMI	3	2.0	9	1,100	810	752	120	RAD	632	5,688	No	3+ Story	New Construction	No
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
<select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
<select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
<select>></select>							0		0	0				
<select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
<select>></select>							0		0	0				
<select>></select>							0		0	0				
<select>></select>							0		0	0				
<select>></select>							0		0	0				
<select>></select>							0		0	0				
<select>></select>							0		0	0				
<select>></select>							0		0	0				
<select>></select>							0		0	0				
<select>></select>							0		0	0				
<select>></select>							0		0	0				
<select>></select>							_		0	0				
<select>></select>							0		0	0				
<select>></select>							0		0	0				
										-				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
<select>></select>							0		0	0				
<select>></select>							0		0	0				
<select>></select>							0		0	0				
<select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
<select>></select>							0		0	0				
<select>></select>							0		0	0				
		TOTAL	70	61,390				MONT	HLY TOTAL	32,272		·		

^{***} NOTE: When selecting "PHA Operating Subsidy" in the "PBRA Provider or Operating Subsidy" column above, please also then enter a zero in the "Proposed Gross Rent" column above AND include the PHA operating subsidy amount in the "III. Ancillary and Other Income" section below. Also refer to the Application Instructions provided separately.

II. UNIT SUMMARY

	ıt	

NOTE TO APPLICANTS : If the	Low-Income Unrestricted Total Residential		60% AMI 50% AMI Total
numbers compiled in this Summary	Common Space Total		
do not appear to match what	PBRA-Assisted (included in LI above)		60% AMI 50% AMI Total
was entered in the Rent Chart above, please verify	PHA Operating S Assisted (included in LI above)		60% AMI 50% AMI Total
that all applicable columns	Type of Construction Activity	New Construction	Low Inc Unrestricted Total + CS
were completed in	•	Acq/Rehab	Low Inc Unrestricted Total + CS
the rows used in the Rent Chart		Substantial Rehab Only	Low Inc Unrestricted Total + CS
above.		Adaptive Reuse Historic Adaptive Reuse Historic	
	Desiration of Transact		
	Building Type: (for <i>Utility</i> <i>Allowance</i> and other purposes)	Multifamily	1-Story Historic 2-Story Historic 2-Story Wlkp Historic 3+-Story Historic
		SF Detached	Historic
		Townhome	Historic
		Duplex	Historic
		Manufactured home	Historic

Efficiency	1BR	2BR	3BR	4BR	Total	
0	21	40	9	0	70	(Includes inc-restr mgr
0	0	0	0	0	U	units)
0	21 0	40	9	0	70	
0	21	40	9	0	0 70	
0	0	0	0	0		(no rent charged)
0	21	40	9	0	70	. ,
0	21	40	9	0	70	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	21	40	9	0	70	
	•					
0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	21	40	9	0	70	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	21 0	40 0	9	0	70 0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
					0	
					0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	21	40	9	0	70	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0 0	0	0	
0	21	40	9	0	70	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	

Georgia Department of	Community Affairs			2017 F	unding App	lication		Н	ousing Finance	and Development D	ivision
Building Type: (for Cost Limit	Detached / SemiDet	ached	Historic		0	0	0	0	0	0	
purposes)	Row House		Historic		0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Walkup				0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Elevator		Historic		0	0 21	0 40	9	0	0 70	
Unit Square Footage:			Historic		0	0	0	0	0	0	
Low Income			60% AMI 50% AMI		0	15,330 0	36,160 0	9,900	0	61,390 0	
l la va atviata d			Total		0	15,330	36,160	9,900	0	61,390	
Unrestricted Total Residenti					0	0 15,330	0 36,160	9,900	0	0 61,390	
Common Space Total	Э				0	0 15,330	0 36,160	9,900	0	0 61,390	
I. ANCILLARY AND OTHER	INCOME (annual a	mounts)									
Ancillary Income Other Income (OI) by Year:				7,745		Laundry, vend	ding, app fees, e	etc. Actual pct	of PGI:	2.00%	
Included in Mgt Fee: Operating Subsidy		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Other: Total OI in Mgt Fe		_	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	-	
NOT Included in Mgt Fee:	, c	-		-	-	-	- 1	-	-	-	
Property Tax Abatement Other:											
Total OI NOT in N Included in Mgt Fee:	/lgt Fee	- 11	- 12	13	14	- 15		- 17	- 18	- <u> </u> 19	20
Operating Subsidy Other:											
Total OI in Mgt Fe	ee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Property Tax Abatement											
Other: Total OI NOT in N	/lgt Fee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Included in Mgt Fee: Operating Subsidy		21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
Other: Total OI in Mgt Fe	26	_	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	_
NOT Included in Mgt Fee: Property Tax Abatement											
Other:	Apt Fac										
Total OI NOT in M Included in Mgt Fee:	/igt Fee	31	32	33	34	- 35	-	-	-	- 1	-
Operating Subsidy Other:											
Total OI in Mgt Fe	ее	-	-	-	-	-					
NOT Included in Mat Fee:											
NOT Included in Mgt Fee: Property Tax Abatement Other:											

IV. ANNUAL OPERATING EXPENSE BUDGET

On-Site Staff Costs	
Management Salaries & Benefits	42,400
Maintenance Salaries & Benefits	24,960
Support Services Salaries & Benefits	25,200
Healthy Int - GDPH-4	15
Subtotal	92,575
Subtotal On-Site Office Costs	92,575
	92,575
On-Site Office Costs	,

Subtotal	10,317
Cubtatal	10.317
Cable and Internet	2,206
Activities Supplies / Overhead Cost	342
Leased Furniture / Equipment	2,500
Travel	430
Telephone	3,060

Maintenance Expenses	
Contracted Repairs	3,669
General Repairs	6,115
Grounds Maintenance	4,460
Extermination	4,427
Maintenance Supplies	7,285
Elevator Maintenance	5,000
Redecorating	9,744
Other (describe here)	
Subtotal	40,700

On-Site	Secu	rity
---------	------	------

Contracted Guard	5,000
Electronic Alarm System	600
Subtotal	5,600

Professional Services	
Legal	11,600
Accounting	4,800
Advertising	4,500
Other (describe here)	
Subtotal	20,900

Utilities	(Avg\$/mth/unit)	1
Electricity	21	18,028
Natural Gas	0	
Water&Swr	9	7,408
Trash Collect	ion	6,548
Other (describe h	nere)	
	Subtotal	31.984

Taxes and Insurance

Real Estate Taxes (Gross)*	4,953
Insurance**	19,400
Other (describe here)	
Subtotal	24.353

Manad	ement	Eoo:
ıvıarıay	emem	ree.

23,878

366.79	Average per unit per year
30.57	Average per unit per month

(Mgt Fee - see Pro Forma, Sect 1, Operating Assumptions)

TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES 250,307

3,575.81 Average per unit

Total OE Required

210,000

Replacement Reserve (RR)	17,500
Proposed averaga RR/unit amount:	250
M	0 1 1 "

	•	
<u>Minimum I</u>	Replacement Reserve	Calculation
Unit Type	Units x RR Min	Total by Type
Multifamily		
Rehab	0 units x \$350 =	0
New Constr	70 units x \$250 =	17,500
SF or Duplex	0 units x \$420 =	0
Historic Rhb	0 units x \$420 =	0

70

TOTAL ANNUAL EXPENSES

Totals

17,500	
267.8	C

V. APPLICANT C

V. APPLICANT COMMENTS AND CLARIFICATIONS	VI.	DCA COMMENTS
Taxes and Insurance methodology is located in Tab 1: Feasibility.		

PART SEVEN - OPERATING PRO FORMA - 2017-0 Phoenix Landing, LaGrange, Troup County										
I. OPERATING ASSUMPT	IONS		Please Note:		Green-shaded cells	are unlocked for yo	our use and contain	references/formulas	that may be overwi	ritten if needed.
	2.00%		Asset Management Fee Amount (include total 5,000					Mgt Fee Perce	entage of EGI:	-1.36%
•	3.00%		charged by all lenders/investors)							
_	3.00%		Property Mgt F		,	:		Mgt Fee Percer		6.50%
Vacancy & Collection Loss			•	owth Rate (3.0	,			icate Yr 1 Mgt F		
Ancillary Income Limit	2.00%		Percent of E	Effective Gross	Income	Yes	s> If Yes, indicate actual percentage:			6.500%
II. OPERATING PRO FORMA										
Year	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Revenues	387,264	395,009	402,909	410,968	419,187	427,571	436,122	444,845	453,741	462,816
Ancillary Income	7,745	7,900	8,058	8,219	8,384	8,551	8,722	8,897	9,075	9,256
Vacancy	(27,651)	(28,204)	(28,768)	(29,343)	(29,930)	(30,529)	(31,139)	(31,762)	(32,397)	(33,045)
Other Income (OI)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OI Not Subject to Mgt Fee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Expenses less Mgt Fee	(226,429)	(233,222)	(240,219)	(247,425)	(254,848)	(262,493)	(270,368)	(278,479)	(286,833)	(295,438)
Property Mgmt	(23,878)	(24,356)	(24,843)	(25,340)	(25,847)	(26,364)	(26,891)	(27,429)	(27,977)	(28,537)
Reserves	(17,500)	(18,025)	(18,566)	(19,123)	(19,696)	(20,287)	(20,896)	(21,523)	(22,168)	(22,834)
NOI	99,552	99,103	98,573	97,956	97,250	96,449	95,551	94,549	93,440	92,219
Mortgage A	(66,225)	(66,225)	(66,225)	(66,225)	(66,225)	(66,225)	(66,225)	(66,225)	(66,225)	(66,225)
Mortgage B		-	-		-	-	-		-	-
Mortgage C	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-
D/S Other Source,not DDF	-	-	-		-	-	-		-	-
DCA HOME Cash Resrv.										
Asset Mgmt	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)
Cash Flow	28,327	27,878	27,348	26,731	26,025	25,224	24,326	23,324	22,215	20,994

1.48

1.48

1.34

978,798

1.47

1.47

1.32

922,102

1.46

1.46

1.31

864,837

1.44

1.44

1.30

806,996

1.43

1.43

1.29

748,573

1.41

1.41

1.28

689,564

DCR Mortgage A

DCR Mortgage B DCR Mortgage C DCR Other Source Total DCR

Oper Exp Coverage Ratio

Mortgage A Balance

Mortgage B Balance Mortgage C Balance Other Source Balance 1.50

1.50

1.37

1,145,526

1.50

1.50

1.36

1,090,505

1.49

1.49

1.35

1,034,931

1.39

1.39

1.27

629,963

	PART	SEVEN - OPE	RATING PRO	FORMA - 20°	17-0 Phoenix L	.anding, LaGr	ange, Troup C	ounty		
I. OPERATING ASSUMPTIONS Please Note: Green-shaded cells are unlocked for your use and contain references/formulas that may be overwritten if needed.										
Revenue Growth 2.00%		Asset Management Fee Amount (include total 5,000			5,000	O Yr 1 Asset Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI:			-1.36%	
Expense Growth	С	charged by all lenders/investors)						· ·		
<u>-</u>	3.00%	F	Property Mgt Fee Growth Rate (choose one):				Yr 1 Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI:			
Vacancy & Collection Loss				owth Rate (3.00			> If Yes, indicate Yr 1 Mgt Fee Amt:			
Ancillary Income Limit	2.00%		Percent of Effective Gross Income			Yes	Yes> If Yes, indicate actual percentage:			6.500%
II. OPERATING PRO FOR	MA									
Year	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Revenues	472,073	481,514	491,144	500,967	510,987	521,206	531,630	542,263	553,108	564,171
Ancillary Income	9,441	9,630	9,823	10,019	10,220	10,424	10,633	10,845	11,062	11,283
Vacancy	(33,706)	(34,380)	(35,068)	(35,769)	(36,484)	(37,214)	(37,958)	(38,718)	(39,492)	(40,282)
Other Income (OI)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OI Not Subject to Mgt Fee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Expenses less Mgt Fee	(304,302)	(313,431)	(322,834)	(332,519)	(342,494)	(352,769)	(363,352)	(374,253)	(385,480)	(397,045)
Property Mgmt	(29,108)	(29,690)	(30,283)	(30,889)	(31,507)	(32,137)	(32,780)	(33,435)	(34,104)	(34,786)
Reserves	(23,519)	(24,224)	(24,951)	(25,699)	(26,470)	(27,264)	(28,082)	(28,925)	(29,793)	(30,686)
NOI	90,880	89,419	87,832	86,111	84,250	82,246	80,090	77,778	75,302	72,655
Mortgage A	(66,225)	(66,225)	(66,225)	(66,225)	(66,225)	(66,225)	(66,225)	(66,225)	(66,225)	(66,225)
Mortgage B	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mortgage C	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
D/S Other Source,not DDF	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DCA HOME Cash Resrv.										
Asset Mgmt	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)
Cash Flow	19,655	18,195	16,607	14,886	13,026	11,021	8,865	6,554	4,077	1,430
DCR Mortgage A	1.37	1.35	1.33	1.30	1.27	1.24	1.21	1.17	1.14	1.10
DCR Mortgage B										
DCR Mortgage C										
DCR Other Source										
Total DCR	1.37	1.35	1.33	1.30	1.27	1.24	1.21	1.17	1.14	1.10
Oper Exp Coverage Ratio	1.25	1.24	1.23	1.22	1.21	1.20	1.19	1.18	1.17	1.16
Mortgage A Balance	569,762	508,957	447,540	385,507	322,851	259,565	195,644	131,080	65,867	0
Mortgage B Balance										
Mortgage C Balance										
Other Source Balance										

PART SEVEN - OPERATING PRO FORMA - 2017-0 Phoenix Landing, LaGrange, Troup County										
I. OPERATING ASSUMPTIONS Please Note: Green-shaded cells are unlocked for your use and contain references/formulas that may be overwritten if needed.										
Revenue Growth 2.00%		Asset Management Fee Amount (include total 5,000					Yr 1 Asset Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI:			-1.36%
Expense Growth	3.00%		charged by all lend		-					
	3.00%	ı			e (choose one):	<u> </u>		Yr 1 Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI:		
Vacancy & Collection Loss		Expense Growth Rate (3.00%)				> If Yes, indicate Yr 1 Mgt Fee Amt:				
Ancillary Income Limit	2.00%		Percent of E	ffective Gross	Income	Yes	> If Yes, indi	cate actual per	centage:	6.500%
II. OPERATING PRO FOR	MA									
Year	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
Revenues	575,454	586,963	598,702	610,676	622,890	635,348	648,055	661,016	674,236	687,721
Ancillary Income	11,509	11,739	11,974	12,214	12,458	12,707	12,961	13,220	13,485	13,754
Vacancy	(41,087)	(41,909)	(42,747)	(43,602)	(44,474)	(45,364)	(46,271)	(47,197)	(48,140)	(49,103)
Other Income (OI)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OI Not Subject to Mgt Fee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Expenses less Mgt Fee	(408,956)	(421,225)	(433,861)	(446,877)	(460,284)	(474,092)	(488,315)	(502,964)	(518,053)	(533,595)
Property Mgmt	(35,482)	(36,192)	(36,915)	(37,654)	(38,407)	(39,175)	(39,958)	(40,758)	(41,573)	(42,404)
Reserves	(31,607)	(32,555)	(33,532)	(34,538)	(35,574)	(36,641)	(37,740)	(38,873)	(40,039)	(41,240)
NOI	69,831	66,821	63,621	60,219	56,609	52,783	48,731	44,445	39,915	35,133
Mortgage A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mortgage B	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mortgage C	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
D/S Other Source,not DDF	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DCA HOME Cash Resrv.										
Asset Mgmt	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)
Cash Flow	64,831	61,821	58,621	55,219	51,609	47,783	43,731	39,445	34,915	30,133
DCR Mortgage A										
DCR Mortgage B										
DCR Mortgage C										
DCR Other Source										
Total DCR										
Oper Exp Coverage Ratio	1.15	1.14	1.13	1.12	1.11	1.10	1.09	1.08	1.07	1.06
Mortgage A Balance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mortgage B Balance										
Mortgage C Balance										
Other Source Balance										

PART SEVEN - OPERATING PRO FORMA - 2017-0 Phoenix Landing, LaGrange, Troup County Please Note: I. OPERATING ASSUMPTIONS Green-shaded cells are unlocked for your use and contain references/formulas that may be overwritten if needed. Asset Management Fee Amount (include total 5,000 Revenue Growth 2.00% Yr 1 Asset Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: -1.36% charged by all lenders/investors) Expense Growth 3.00% Reserves Growth 3.00% Property Mgt Fee Growth Rate (choose one): Yr 1 Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: 6.50% --> If Yes, indicate Yr 1 Mgt Fee Amt: Vacancy & Collection Loss 7.00% Expense Growth Rate (3.00%) **Ancillary Income Limit** 2.00% Percent of Effective Gross Income Yes --> If Yes, indicate actual percentage: 6.500%

II. OPERATING PRO FORMA

Year	31	32	33	34	35
Revenues	701,475	715,505	729,815	744,411	759,299
Ancillary Income	14,030	14,310	14,596	14,888	15,186
Vacancy	(50,085)	(51,087)	(52,109)	(53,151)	(54,214)
Other Income (OI)	-	-	-	-	-
OI Not Subject to Mgt Fee	-	-	-	-	-
Expenses less Mgt Fee	(549,603)	(566,091)	(583,073)	(600,566)	(618,583)
Property Mgmt	(43,252)	(44,117)	(45,000)	(45,900)	(46,818)
Reserves	(42,477)	(43,751)	(45,064)	(46,416)	(47,808)
NOI	30,088	24,769	19,165	13,267	7,062
Mortgage A	-	-	-	-	-
Mortgage B	-	-	-	-	-
Mortgage C	-	-	-	-	-
D/S Other Source,not DDF	-	-	-	-	-
DCA HOME Cash Resrv.					
Asset Mgmt	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)
Cash Flow	25,088	19,769	14,165	8,267	2,062
DCR Mortgage A					
DCR Mortgage B					
DCR Mortgage C					
DCR Other Source					
Total DCR					
Oper Exp Coverage Ratio	1.05	1.04	1.03	1.02	1.01
Mortgage A Balance	0	0	0	0	0
Mortgage B Balance					
Mortgage C Balance					
Other Source Balance					

	PART SEVEN - OI	PERATING PRO FORMA - 2017-0 Phoenix	Landing, LaGr	range, Troup County	
I. OPERATING ASSUMPT Revenue Growth Expense Growth Reserves Growth Vacancy & Collection Loss Ancillary Income Limit	2.00% 3.00% 3.00%	Please Note: Green-shaded cells Asset Management Fee Amount (include total charged by all lenders/investors) Property Mgt Fee Growth Rate (choose one Expense Growth Rate (3.00%) Percent of Effective Gross Income	5,000	ur use and contain references/formulas that may be overwr Yr 1 Asset Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: Yr 1 Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: > If Yes, indicate Yr 1 Mgt Fee Amt: > If Yes, indicate actual percentage:	itten if needed1.36% 6.50%
II. OPERATING PRO FOR	RMA				
III. Applicant Comments	& Clarifications		IV. DCA Com	ments	
LaGrange Housing Authority is provi the end of year 20.	iding ther permanent loan based on a 2	0 year full amortization structure, and thus is paid in full at			

		ant Response DCA USE
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only)	<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and	have
THAL THESHOLD DETERMINATION (DOA 036 Only)	no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.	
DCA's Overall Comments / Approval Conditions:		
1.)		
2.)		
3.)		
,		
4.)		
1.)		
5.)		
6.)		
7.)		
3.)		
0.)		
11.)		
12.)		
13.)		
14.)		
15.)		
16.)		
17.)		
8.)		
19.)		
20.)		
1 PROJECT FEASIBILITY, VIABILITY ANALYSIS, AND CONFORM	MANCE WITH PLAN Pa	iss?
Threshold Justification per Applicant There are no commitments that are "Under Consideration". All Commitments are firm.		
There are no communerts that are order consideration. All communerts are limit.		
DCA's Comments:		

							F	Applicant Respon	se DCA USE
EINIVI .	THRESHOLI	D DETERMINIA	TION (DCA Use O	nlv)		ring section reviews pertain only to the		round and have	
		DEIERIVIINA	TION (DOA 036 O	,,,,,	no effect on	subsequent or future funding round sco	ring decisions.	Dana?	
	T LIMITS	L' D IVID	N 0 / "	1		<u> </u>		Pass?	
	nts are linked to Rent Char		New Construction and			Rehab or Transit-Oriented	•	L- # 1 - O # - 1	10
Expenses rab.	COST FILLING LEG CHILL TOTALS I	by unit type are auto-calculated	Acquisition/Rehabilitation		, , ,	for Historic Preservation or	IOD pt(s)	Is this Criterion n	net?
	Unit Type	Nbr Units	Unit Cost Limit tota	I by Unit Type	e Nbr Units	Unit Cost Limit tota	by Unit Type		
Detached/Se	,	0 0	117,818 x 0 units =	0	0	129,599 x 0 units =	0	MSA f	or Cost Limit
mi-Detached	1 BR	1 0	$154,420 \times 0 \text{ units} =$	0	0	169,862 x 0 units =	0	_	urposes:
	2 BR	2 0	187,511 x 0 units =	0	0	$206,262 \times 0 \text{ units} =$	0	P	uiposes.
	3 BR	3 0	$229,637 \times 0 \text{ units} =$	0	0	$252,600 \times 0 \text{ units} =$	0	V	/aldosta
	4 BR	4 0	270,341 x 0 units =	0	0	$297,375 \times 0 \text{ units} =$	0	•	aluosta
	Subotal	0	_	0	0		0	Tot Dev	elopment Costs:
Row House	Efficiency	0 0	110,334 x 0 units =	0	0	121,367 x 0 units =	0	44	749,426
	1 BR	1 0	$144,909 \times 0 \text{ units} =$	0	0	159,399 x 0 units =	0	•	•
	2 BR	2 0	$176,506 \times 0 \text{ units} =$	0	0	$194,156 \times 0 \text{ units} =$	0	Cost W	/aiver Amount:
	3 BR	3 0	$217,443 \times 0 \text{ units} =$	0	0	$239,187 \times 0 \text{ units} =$	0		
	4 BR	4 0	$258,414 \times 0 \text{ units} =$	0	0	284,255 x 0 units =	0		
	Subotal	0	-	0	0		0	Historic	Preservation Pts
Walkup	Efficiency	0 0	91,210 x 0 units =	0	0	100,331 x 0 units =	0		0
	1 BR	1 0	125,895 x 0 units =	0	0	138,484 x 0 units =	0	Communi	ty Transp Opt Pts
	2 BR	2 0	159,553 x 0 units =	0	0	175,508 x 0 units =	0		2
	3 BR	3 0	208,108 x 0 units =	0	0	228,918 x 0 units =	0		
	4 BR	4 0	259,274 x 0 units =	0	0	285,201 x 0 units =	0	Dro	iont Cost
	Subotal	0	-	0	0	·	0		ject Cost
Elevator	Efficiency	0 0	$95,549 \times 0 \text{ units} =$	0	0	$105,103 \times 0 \text{ units} =$	0	Lim	nit (PCL)
	1 BR	1 21	133,769 x 21 units =	2,809,149	0	147,145 x 0 units =	0	44 '	752,531
	2 BR	2 40	171,988 x 40 units =	6,879,520	0	$189,186 \times 0 \text{ units} =$	0	11,	732,331
	3 BR	3 9	229,318 x 9 units =	2,063,862	0	252,249 x 0 units =	0	Note: if a Pl	JCL Waiver has been
	4 BR	4 0	$286,647 \times 0 \text{ units} =$	0	0	315,311 x 0 units =	0	approved b	by DCA, that amount
	Subotal	70	_	11,752,531	0		0	would sup	ercede the amounts
Total Per C	Construction Type	70	=	11,752,531	0	- -	0	st	nown at left.
	nold Justification pe				DCA's Comn	nents:			
The Project	is a 3 story interior	r corridor with elevator							
3 TEN	ANCY CHARAC	CTERISTICS	This project is designated a	as:	Elderly			Pass?	
Threst	nold Justification pe	er Applicant			DCA's Comn	nents:			
The propos	ed development co	onsists of 70 units targe	eting senior/elderly						
4 REQ	UIRED SERVIC	CES						Pass?	
			e specific services and mee	t the additiona	al policies related to services	S. Does Applica	nt agree?	Agree	
					projects, or at least 4 basic of				
	•		verseen by project mgr	Specify:	p. 0,0000, 01 at 10a0t 1 baolo 0	gog corridos from at load	o balogorioo L	.c.c for conner project	<u>.</u>
,	-site enrichment cl		. s. s s o joot mg	Specify:	CPR Clases, Computer C	lasses			
,	-site health classes			Specify:	Nutrition/Health Classes				
,	her services approv			Specify:	Tatrition/110aiti1 Olasses	,			
		•	congregate supportive hous		mente:				
	• •	•	im of care or service provide						
	nold Justification pe	• • •	in a care or service provide		DCA's Comm	nents:			

Applicant	Response	DCA USE
, .ppoa	. tooponeo	

FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only)

Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.

The managing agent will provide two on-site enrichment classes in the way of CPR Clases and computer clases and wo additional on-site health classes in the way of a nutrition/health class and an exercise class on a monthly basis. All activites to be overseen by property manager and the LaGrange Housing Authority.

		Applicant I	Response	DCA USE
NAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only) Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section no effect on subsequent	n reviews pertain only to the correspondi t or future funding round scoring decision	0		
MARKET FEASIBILITY	t or ruture runding round scoring decision	Pass?		
A. Provide the name of the market study analyst used by applicant:	A. John Wall and Associ	ates		
B. Project absorption period to reach stabilized occupancy	B. 3 Months			
C. Overall Market Occupancy Rate	C. 0.00 %			
D. Overall capture rate for tax credit units	D. 12.70 %			
E. List DCA tax credit projects in close proximity to properties funded in 2014 or 2015. Include DCA project number and project	ject name in each case.			
Project Nbr Project Name Project Nbr Project Name	Project	Nbr Project Name		
1 N/A 3 N/A	5 N/A			
2 N/A 4 N/A	6 N/A	ı		
F. Does the unit mix/rents and amenities included in the application match those provided in the market study?		F.	Yes	
Threshold Justification per Applicant				
r the market study included in Tab 5, the site appears to be suitable for development. The population and household growth in				
isonable. The average LIHTC vacancy rate is 0.0% and it is noted that the proposal would have no long term impact on existing	g LIHTC developments. The	market analyst state	s that the de	velopment as
posed would be a success.				
DCA's Comments:				
APPRAISALS		Pass?		
A. Is there is an identity of interest between the buyer and seller of the project?		А.	No	
B. Is an appraisal included in this application submission?		В.	No	
If an appraisal is included, indicate Appraiser's Name and answer the following questions: Appraiser's Name and answer the following questions:	ame.	5.		
Does it provide a land value?	arrio.	1)		
2) Does it provide a value for the improvements?		2)		
3) Does the appraisal conform to USPAP standards? 3) Does the appraisal conform to USPAP standards?		3)		
4) For LIHTC projects involving DCA HOME funds, does the total hard cost of the project exceed 90% of the as complete	ed unencumbered appraised			
value of the property?	ca anencamberea appraisea	4)		
C. If an identity of interest exists between the buyer and seller, did the seller purchase this property within the past three (3)	years?	C.	No	
D. Has the property been:		D.		
1) Rezoned?		1)	No	
2) Subdivided?		2)	No	
3) Modified?		3)	No	
Threshold Justification per Applicant		, ·		
Appraisal is not required; therefore, one has not been done at this time. Property is not being sold as a long-term ground lease	e for a nominal fee is in place) .		
DCA's Comments:				
DCA's Comments:				

Applicant F	≀esponse	DCA USE
INAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only) Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.		
ENVIRONMENTAL REQUIREMENTS no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions. Pass?		
ENVIRONMENTAL REQUIREMENTS		
A. Name of Company that prepared the Phase I Assessment in accordance with ASTM 1527-13: A. United Consulting		
B. Is a Phase II Environmental Report included?		
C. Was a Noise Assessment performed?		
1) If "Yes", name of company that prepared the noise assessment? 1) Harry Walls Environmental Consulting		
2) If "Yes", provide the maximum noise level on site in decibels over the 10 year projection:	69.5 DNL	
3) If "Yes", what are the contributing factors in decreasing order of magnitude?		
Whitesville Road and CSR RR/ We have included an letter from the architect that we would meet all noise mitigation requirements.		
D. Is the subject property located in a:		
1) Brownfield?	No	
2) 100 year flood plain / floodway?	Yes	
If "Yes": a) Percentage of site that is within a floodplain: a)	10.000%	
b) Will any development occur in the floodplain?	No	
c) Is documentation provided as per Threshold criteria?	Yes	
3) Wetlands?	No	
If "Yes": a) Enter the percentage of the site that is a wetlands: a)	0.000%	
b) Will any development occur in the wetlands?	No	
c) Is documentation provided as per Threshold criteria?	Yes	
4) State Waters/Streams/Buffers and Setbacks area?	Yes	
E. Has the Environmental Professional identified any of the following on the subject property:		
1) Lead-based paint? No 5) Endangered species? No 9) Mold?	No	
2) Noise? Yes 6) Historic designation? No 10) PCB's?	No	
3) Water leaks? No 7) Vapor intrusion? No 11) Radon?	No	
4) Lead in water? No 8) Asbestos-containing materials? No		
12) Other (e.g., Native American burial grounds, etc.) - describe in box below:		
No		
F. Is all additional environmental documentation required for a HOME application included, such as:		
1) Eight-Step Process for Wetlands and/or Floodplains required and included?	No	
2) Has Applicant/PE completed the HOME and HUD Environmental Questionnaire?	No	
3) Owner agrees that they must refrain from undertaking any activities that could have an adverse effect on the subject property?	No	
G. If HUD approval has been previously granted, has the HUD Form 4128 been included?	N/A	
ojects involving HOME funds must also meet the following Site and Neighborhood Standards:		
H. The Census Tract for the property is characterized as [Choose either <i>Minority concentration</i> (50% or more minority), <i>Racially</i> H. <select>> mixed (25% - 49% minority), or <i>Non-minority</i> (less than 25% minority)]:</select>	< <sel< td=""><td>ect>></td></sel<>	ect>>
I. List all contiguous Census Tracts:		
J. Is Contract Addendum included in Application?		
Threshold Justification per Applicant		
ease note that a noise assessment along with 10 year projections for the major roadway have been included within the Phase I report. Item F. Part 1 - is answered no because the	ere are no we	etlands on
te.		
DCA's Comments:		

			Applicant	Response	DCA USE
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only)		ain only to the corresponding funding round and have		
•	DCA USE Office	no effect on subsequent or future fun			
S SITE CONTROL		5/04/00	Pass?		
A. Is site control provided through November 30, 2017?	Expiration Date:	5/24/92	A.	Yes	
B. Form of site control:		- DI	B. Ground lease/Option	< <select>></select>	
C. Name of Entity with site control:		C. Phoenix Landing 2016, LP			
D. Is there any Identity of Interest between the entity with	site control and the applicant?		D.	Yes	
Threshold Justification per Applicant					
he entity with site control via long-term ground lease is the app	blicant. LaGrange Housing Authroity has	executed a Ground Lease Agreem	ent for a nominal fee with the partnersr	nip for 75 years.	
DCA's Comments:					
SITE ACCESS			Pass?		
A. Does this site provide a specified entrance that is legal documentation reflecting such paved roads included in		appropriate drawings, surveys, ph	otographs and other A.	Yes	
B. If access roads are not in place, does the application of funding, and the timetable for completion of such pave		vernment approval to pave the roa	d, a commitment for B.		
C. If the road is going to be paved by the applicant, are th	ese costs documented in the submitted e	ectronic application binder and ref	lected in the C.		
development budget provided in the core application?					
D. If use of private drive proposed, is site control of private			ent on private drive, and D.		
are the plans for paving private drive, including associa	ated development costs, adequately addre	essed in Application?			
Threshold Justification per Applicant he site is bordered by 1 paved road. Photos of paved road are	o located in Tab 0: Site Access				
DCA's Comments:	e located in Tab 9. Site Access.				
DOA'S COMMONS.					
0 SITE ZONING			Pass?		
A. Is Zoning in place at the time of this application submis	ssion?		A.	Yes	
B. Does zoning of the development site conform to the sit			B.	Yes	
C. Is the zoning confirmed, in writing, by the authorized Lo			C.	Yes	
•	ion included in the Application?		1)	Yes	
.,	the zoning <i>and</i> land use classification of t	he property?	2)	Yes	
3) Is the letter accompanie	ed by a clear explanation of the requirement ghted for the stated classification)?			Yes	
	ed by all conditions of these zoning and la	nd use classifications?	4)	Yes	
5) If project is requesting I	HOME or HUD funds, does Local Governr		· ·	No	
development of prime of		ically on in written form) that dans	potrates that the site		
D. Is documentation provided (on the Architectural Site Collayout conforms to any moratoriums, density, setbacks		ically of in written form) that demoi	nstrates that the site D.	Yes	
E. Are all issues and questions surrounding the zoning ar		rior to this application submission?	E.	Yes	
Threshold Justification per Applicant	,		- -1		
o HOME funds therefore the answer to C. 5 above is NA					

DCA's Comments:

				Applicant I	Response	DCA USE
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Us	eo Only)		Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding fu	nding round and have		
•	oe Only)	no effect o	on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.	D 0		
11 OPERATING UTILITIES				Pass?		•
A. Check applicable utilities and enter provider name:	1) Gas	N/A		1)	No	
Threshold Justification per Applicant	2) Electric	City of Lac		2)	Yes	
The project will not be using gas as a utility; everything is electric. Verification	ion of available utilites	from the city of LaGrai	nge is provided in Tab 11.			
DCA's Comments:						
				_		
12 PUBLIC WATER/SANITARY SEWER/STORM SEWER	2			Pass?		
A. 1) Is there a Waiver Approval Letter From DCA included in this a	pplication for this criteri	on as it pertains to sin	gle-family detached Rural projects?	A1)	No	
2) If Yes, is the waiver request accompanied by an engineering re	eport confirming the av	ailability of water and t	he percolation of the soil?	2)		
B. Check all that are available to the site and enter provider	 Public water 	City of Lac	Grange	B1)	Yes	
name:	Public sewer	City of Lac	Grange	2)	Yes	
Threshold Justification per Applicant						
Water and Sewer are available and there is adequate capacity to servie th	e propsed site evidence	ed by the letter in Tab	12			
DCA's Comments:						
13 REQUIRED AMENITIES				Pass?		
Is there a Pre-Approval Form from DCA included in this application fo	this criterion?				No	
A. Applicant agrees to provide following required Standard Site Ame		vith DCA Amenities Gu	uidebook (select one in each category):	A	Agree	
Community area (select either community room or community)			Room	· "I	7.9.00	
Exterior gathering area (if "Other", explain in box provided at ri	0,	,		f "Other", explain he	re	
3) On site laundry type:	9,.	,	On-site laundry			
B. Applicant agrees to provide the following required Additional Site	Amenities to conform w	ith the DCA Amenities	Guidebook.	В.	Agree	
The nbr of additional amenities required depends on the total unit				L		l Amenities
Additional Amenities (describe in space provided below)	Guidebook Met? DC	•	Additional Amenities (describe below)	(Guidebook Met?	DCA Pre-approv
Covered Pavilion with BBQ and picnic area		3)	,			
2) Furnished Arts and Crafts Center		4)				
C. Applicant agrees to provide the following required Unit Amenities:	•	·		C.	Agree	
1) HVAC systems				1)	Yes	
2) Energy Star refrigerators				2)	Yes	
3) Energy Star dishwashers (not required in senior USDA or HU	D properties)			3)	Yes	
4) Stoves				4)	Yes	
5) Microwave ovens				5)	Yes	
6) a. Powder-based stovetop fire suppression canisters installed	above the range cook t	top, OR		6a)	No	
b. Electronically controlled solid cover plates over stove top bu	rners			6b)	Yes	
D. If proposing a Senior project or Special Needs project, Applicant a	grees to provide the fo	llowing additional requ	uired Amenities:	D.	Agree	
1) Elevators are installed for access to all units above the ground	floor.			1)	Yes	
2) Buildings more than two story construction have interior furnish	ned gathering areas in	several locations in the	e lobbies and/or corridors	2)	Yes	
3) a. 100% of the units are accessible and adaptable, as defined				3a)	Yes	
b. If No, was a DCA Architectural Standards waiver granted?	-			3b)		
Threshold Justification per Applicant						
The applicant agrees to provide the above listed amenities.						
DCA's Comments:	<u> </u>					

	A	oplicant	Response	DCA USE
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use	Only) Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding r no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.	ound and have		
4 REHABILITATION STANDARDS (REHABILITATION PRO	•••	Pass?		
•	,		< <select>></select>	
A. Type of rehab (choose one): B. Date of Physical Needs Assessment (PNA):	A. < <select>> B.</select>		<<3eiect>>	
Name of consultant preparing PNA:	Ь.			
Is 20-year replacement reserve study included?				
C. Performance Rpt indicates energy audit completed by qualified BPI Bu	uilding Anglyet?	C.		
Name of qualified BPI Building Analyst or equivalent professional:	muling Analyst:	U.		
	tab,and clearly indicates percentages of each item to be either "demoed" or replacec	D.		
DCA Rehabilitation Work Scope form referenced above clearly	All immediate needs identified in the PNA.	1)		
addresses:	All application threshold and scoring requirements	2)		
	All applicable architectural and accessibility standards.	3)		
	All remediation issues identified in the Phase I Environmental Site Assessment.	<i>4</i>)		
F Applicant understands that in addition to proposed work scope, the pro-	oject must meet state and local building codes, DCA architectural requirements as	E.		
set forth in the QAP and Manuals, and health and safety codes and re				
Threshold Justification per Applicant				
his is a New construction project; therefore, this section for Rehabiliation does	s not apply.			
DCA's Comments:				
20710 007111110111011				
5 SITE INFORMATION AND CONCEPTUAL SITE DEVELO	PMENT PLAN	Pass?		
A Is Concentual Site Development Plan included in application and has	it been prepared in accordance with all instructions set forth in the DCA Architectural	A.	Yes	
Manual?	is been properly in accordance with an instructions set for the in the Box vito intestural	71.	103	
Are all interior and exterior site related amenities required and selecte	d in this application indicated on the Conceptual Site Development Plan?		Yes	
B. Location/Vicinity map delineates location point of proposed property (s	ite geo coordinates) & shows entire municipality area (city limits, etc.)?	B.		
C. Ground level color photos of proposed property & adjacent surrounding	g properties & structures are included, numbered, dated & have brief descriptions?	C.		
Site Map delineates the approximate location point of each photo?				
	identify existing property & adjacent land uses, and delineate property boundaries?	D.		
Threshold Justification per Applicant				
	including all site related amenities being shown on the Conceptual Site Development P	lan		
DCA's Comments:	including all site related amenities being shown on the Conceptual Site Development P	lan		
DCA's Comments:	including all site related amenities being shown on the Conceptual Site Development P	lan		
DCA's Comments:	including all site related amenities being shown on the Conceptual Site Development P	Pass?		
DCA's Comments: 6 BUILDING SUSTAINABILITY	including all site related amenities being shown on the Conceptual Site Development P		Agree	
DCA's Comments: 6 BUILDING SUSTAINABILITY A. Applicant agrees that this proposed property must achieve a minimum completion as set forth in the QAP and DCA Architectural Manual?	standard for energy efficiency and sustainable building practices upon construction dicate all components of the building envelope and all materials and equipment that	Pass?	Agree Agree	
DCA's Comments: 6 BUILDING SUSTAINABILITY A. Applicant agrees that this proposed property must achieve a minimum completion as set forth in the QAP and DCA Architectural Manual? B. Applicant agrees that the final construction documents must clearly in meet the requirements set forth in the QAP and DCA Architectural Ma Threshold Justification per Applicant	standard for energy efficiency and sustainable building practices upon construction dicate all components of the building envelope and all materials and equipment that	Pass? A. B.	Agree	

DCA's Comments:

	Applicant	Response	DCA USE
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only) Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding fund no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.	ng round and have		
17 ACCESSIBILITY STANDARDS	Pass?		
A. 1) Upon completion, will this project comply with all applicable Federal and State accessibility laws including but not limited to: The Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988, Americans with Disabilities Act, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Georgia Fair Housing Law and Georgia Access Law as set forth in the 2015 Accessibility Manual? (When two or more accessibility standards apply, the applicant is required to follow and apply both standards so that a maximum accessibility is obtained.)	A1).	Yes	
2) Owner understands that DCA requires the Section 504 accessibility requirements to be incorporated into the design and construction of ALL new construction and/or rehabilitation projects selected under the 2017 Qualified Allocation Plan, regardless of whether or not the project will receive federal debt financing assistance (e.g., HOME). This constitutes a higher standard of accessibility than what may be required under federal laws. This means that all projects, including those financed with tax exempt bonds which receive an allocation of 4% tax credits and 9% tax credits-only projects, must incorporate at a minimum the requirements of the Uniform Federal Accessibility Standards into the design and construction of the project.	2)	Yes	
3) Owner claims that property is eligible for any of the stated statutory exemptions for any applicable federal, state, and local accessibility law? If so, support the claim with a legal opinion placed where indicted in Tabs Checklist.	3)	No	
4) Does this project comply with applicable DCA accessibility requirements detailed in the 2016 Architectural and Accessibility Manuals?	4)	Yes	
B. 1) a. Will at least 5% of the total units (but no less than Nbr of Units Minimum Required:			
one unit) be equipped for the mobility disabled, including wheelchair restricted residents? 1) a Mobility Impaired 4 5%	50		
including wheelchair restricted residents? 1) a. Mobility Impaired 4 5%	B1)a.	Yes	
b. Roll-in showers will be incorporated into 40% of the mobility 1) b. Roll-In Showers 2 40% equipped units (but no fewer than one unit)?	b.	Yes	
2) Will least an additional 2% of the total units (but no less than one 2) Sight / Hearing Impaired 2 2% unit) be equipped for hearing and sight-impaired residents?	2)	Yes	
C. Applicant will retain a DCA qualified consultant to monitor the project for accessibility compliance who will not be a member of the proposed Project Team nor have an Identify of Interest with any member of the proposed Project Team?	C.	Yes	
The DCA qualified consultant will perform the following: Name of Accessibility Consultant Mark English			
1) A pre-construction plan and specification review to determine that the proposed property will meet all required accessibility requirements. The Consultant report must be included with the Step 2 construction documents submitted to DCA. At a minimum, the report will include the initial comments from the consultant, all documents related to resolution of identified accessibility issues and a certification from the consultant that the plans appear to meet all accessibility requirements.	C1).	Yes	
2) At least two training sessions for General Contractor and Subcontractors regarding accessibility requirements. One training must be on site.	2).	Yes	
3) An inspection of the construction site after framing is completed to determine that the property is following the approved plans and specifications as to accessibility. DCA must receive a copy of the report issued by the consultant as well as documentation that all issues, if any, have been resolved.	3).	Yes	
4) A final inspection of the property after completion of construction to determine that the property has been constructed in accordance with all accessibility requirements. DCA must receive a copy of the report issued by the consultant as well as documentation that all issues, if any, have been resolved prior to submission of the project cost certification.	4).	Yes	

Threshold Justification per Applicant

The project will meet or exceed all Fair Housing accessibilty requirements. In addition, an approved qualified consultant(s) will be used throughout the entire project form start through final inspection, per DCA requirements.

DCA's Comments:

TARTE	off Triteoroes officeria 2017 of nochia Editaring, Edoratigo, frou			
		Applicant	Response	DCA USE
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINA	TION (DCA Use Only) Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corre			
		decisions. Pass?		
18 ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN & QUAL		1 433 :		
Is there a Waiver Approval Letter From DCA inc	luded in this application for this criterion? Indards contained in the Application Manual for quality and longevity?		No	
• •		anded by this project?	Yes	
	tion Hard Costs - are the following minimum review standards for rehabilitation projects met or exceptor funding only if the per unit rehabilitation hard costs exceed \$25,000. The costs of furniture			
' '	buildings and common area amenities are not included in these amounts.	5, IIXUICS, A.		
B. Standard Design Options for All Projects	g	B.		
Exterior Wall Finishes (select one)	Exterior wall faces will have an excess of 40% brick or stone on each total wall surface	1)	Yes	
1)		.,		
2) Major Bldg Component Materials &	Upgraded roofing shingles, or roofing materials (warranty 30 years or greater)	2)	Yes	
Upgrades (select one)		· ·		
C. Additional Design Options - not listed abo	/e, proposed by Applicant prior to Application Submittal in accordance with Exhibit A DCA Pre-applic	ation and		
Pre-Award Deadlines and Fee Schedule, ar		C.		
1)		1)	No	
2)		2)	No	
Threshold Justification per Applicant				
19.A. Above is blank because this is a New Construc	tion project.			
DCA's Comments:				
19 QUALIFICATIONS FOR PROJECT T	EAM (PERFORMANCE)	Pass?		
A. Did the Certifying Entity meet the experienc	e requirement in 2016?	A.	Yes	
B. Is there a pre-application Qualification of Pr	pject Team Determination from DCA included in this application for this criterion?	B.	No	
	eam since the initial pre-application submission?	C.		
	ver renewal of a Significant Adverse Event at pre-application?	D.		
	ct's Team Determination indicated a status of (select one):	E. << Select De		
F. DCA Final Determination		F. << Select De	esignation >>	>
Threshold Justification per Applicant				
The Vantage Group (Lowell R. Barron, II) was qualif	ied - complete per the qualification determination letter dated 04/26/17. We have include a copy of t	he qualification letter in 1	ab. 19.	
DCA's Comments:				
20 COMPLIANCE HISTORY SUMMARY		Pass?		
A. Was a pre-application submitted for this De	ermination at the Pre-Application Stage?	A.	No	
B. If 'Yes", has there been any change in the s	atus of any project included in the CHS form?	B.	N/A	
	t team members completed all required documents as listed in QAP Threshold Section XIX Qualifica	ations for C.		
Project Participants?	,, .,	-	Yes	
Threshold Justification per Applicant				
	evelopment, LLC) were qualified - complete per the qualification determination letter dated 04/26/17.			
DCA's Comments:				

		Аррисані г	Response DCA USE
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only)	<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding fundi no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.	ng round and have	
1 ELIGIBILITY FOR CREDIT UNDER THE NON-PROFIT SET-ASIDE	no effect on subsequent of future futuring found scoring decisions.	Pass?	
A. Name of Qualified non-profit:			
B. Non-profit's Website:			
C. Is the organization a qualified non-profit, defined as a 501(c)(3) or 501(c)(4) organization	ion, which is not affiliated with or controlled by a for-profit	C.	
organization and has included the fostering of low income housing as one of its tax-ex			
D. Will the qualified non-profit materially participate in the development and operation of compliance period?	the project as described in IRC Section 469(h) throughout the	D.	
E. Does the qualified non-profit own at least 51% of the GP's interest in the project and is	the managing general partner of the ownership entity?	E.	
F. Is this entity a corporation with 100 percent of the stock of such corporation held by on period such corporation is in existence?	e or more qualified non-profit organizations at all times during the	F.	
G. All Applicants: Does the non-profit receive a percentage of the developer fee greater the	han or equal to its percentage of its ownership interest?	G.	
1) CHDOS Only: If the nonprofit entity is also a CHDO, is it a DCA-certified CHDO wl	hich must own 100% of the General Partnership entity?		
H. Is a copy of the GP joint venture agreement or GP operating agreement that provides in the application?	the non-profit's GP interest and the Developer Fee amount included	Н.	
I. Is a an opinion of a third party attorney who specializes in tax law on the non-profit's co	urrent federal tax exempt qualification status included in the	I.	
Application? If such an opinion has been previously obtained, this requirement may be			
demonstrating that the non-profit's bylaws have not changed since the legal opinion was	as issued.		
Threshold Justification per Applicant			
his applicationis not applying for eligibility under the non-profit set aside.			
DCA's Comments:			
		_	
2 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE		Pass?	
22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE A. Name of CHDO:	Name of CHDO Managing GP:	Pass?	
A. Name of CHDO:B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application?		Pass?	
A. Name of CHDO:		В.	
A. Name of CHDO:B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application?		J	
 A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing m 		В.	
 A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing m CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)? D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? Threshold Justification per Applicant 	nember of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the	B C.	
 A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing m CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)? D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? 	nember of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the	B C.	
 A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing m CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)? D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? Threshold Justification per Applicant 	nember of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the	B C.	
A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing m CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)? D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? Threshold Justification per Applicant This is not a CHDO project; therefore, all of the above questions are N/A	nember of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the	B C.	
A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing m CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)? D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? Threshold Justification per Applicant This is not a CHDO project; therefore, all of the above questions are N/A	nember of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the DCA HOME Consent amount:	B C.	
 A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing m CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)? D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? Threshold Justification per Applicant this is not a CHDO project; therefore, all of the above questions are N/A DCA's Comments: 	nember of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the DCA HOME Consent amount:	B. C. D.	No
A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing m CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)? D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? Threshold Justification per Applicant his is not a CHDO project; therefore, all of the above questions are N/A DCA's Comments: State legal opinions included in application.	nember of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the DCA HOME Consent amount:	B. C. D.	No No
A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing medium chdo must also exercise effective control of the project)? D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? Threshold Justification per Applicant This is not a CHDO project; therefore, all of the above questions are N/A DCA's Comments: State legal opinions included in application. A. Credit Eligibility for Acquisition	nember of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the DCA HOME Consent amount:	B. C. D. Pass?	
A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing medium chdo must also exercise effective control of the project)? D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? Threshold Justification per Applicant This is not a CHDO project; therefore, all of the above questions are N/A DCA's Comments: State legal opinions included in application A. Credit Eligibility for Acquisition B. Credit Eligibility for Assisted Living Facility C. Non-profit Federal Tax Exempt Qualification Status	nember of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the DCA HOME Consent amount: On using boxes provided.	B. C. D. Pass? A. B.	No
A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing method must also exercise effective control of the project)? D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? Threshold Justification per Applicant This is not a CHDO project; therefore, all of the above questions are N/A DCA's Comments: State legal opinions included in application A. Credit Eligibility for Acquisition B. Credit Eligibility for Assisted Living Facility C. Non-profit Federal Tax Exempt Qualification Status D. Scattered Site Developments [as defined in Section 42(g)(7) of the Code and this QAF	nember of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the DCA HOME Consent amount: On using boxes provided.	Pass? A. B. C.	No No
A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing mental control of the project. D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? Threshold Justification per Applicant This is not a CHDO project; therefore, all of the above questions are N/A DCA's Comments: State legal opinions included in application A. Credit Eligibility for Acquisition B. Credit Eligibility for Assisted Living Facility C. Non-profit Federal Tax Exempt Qualification Status D. Scattered Site Developments [as defined in Section 42(g)(7) of the Code and this QAF E. Other (If Yes, then also describe):	nember of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the DCA HOME Consent amount: On using boxes provided.	Pass? A. B. C.	No No No
A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing method must also exercise effective control of the project)? D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? Threshold Justification per Applicant This is not a CHDO project; therefore, all of the above questions are N/A DCA's Comments: State legal opinions included in application A. Credit Eligibility for Acquisition B. Credit Eligibility for Assisted Living Facility C. Non-profit Federal Tax Exempt Qualification Status D. Scattered Site Developments [as defined in Section 42(g)(7) of the Code and this QAF	nember of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the DCA HOME Consent amount: On using boxes provided.	Pass? A. B. C.	No No No
A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing mental control of the project. D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? Threshold Justification per Applicant his is not a CHDO project; therefore, all of the above questions are N/A DCA's Comments: State legal opinions included in application A. Credit Eligibility for Acquisition B. Credit Eligibility for Assisted Living Facility C. Non-profit Federal Tax Exempt Qualification Status D. Scattered Site Developments [as defined in Section 42(g)(7) of the Code and this QAF E. Other (If Yes, then also describe): Threshold Justification per Applicant	nember of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the DCA HOME Consent amount: On using boxes provided.	Pass? A. B. C.	No No No

			Applicant R	esponse <mark>C</mark>	OCA USE
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINA	ATION (DCA Use Onl	<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.	ng round and have		
4 RELOCATION AND DISPLACEMEN	T OF TENANTS		Pass?		
A. Does the Applicant anticipate displacing or	relocating any tenants?		A.	Yes	
B. 1) Are any of the other sources (not DCA H	IOME) considered to be Federal	Funding?	B1)	No	
If Yes, applicant will need to check with the	source of these funds to determ	nine if this project will trigger the Uniform Relocation Act or 104(d).	<i>,</i> F	•	
2) If tenants will be displaced, has Applican	int received DCA written approva	al and placed a copy where indicated in the Tabs Checklist?	2)		
3) Will any funding source used trigger the	e Uniform Relocation Act or HUD	0 104 (d) requirements?	3)		
C. Is sufficient comparable replacement housing	ing identified in the relocation pla	an according to DCA relocation requirements?	C.	Yes	
D. Provide summary data collected from DCA	Relocation Displacement Spread	dsheet:	<u>-</u>		
1) Number of Over Income Tenants	0	4) Number of Down units			
2) Number of Rent Burdened Tenants	0	5) Number of Displaced Tenants			
3) Number of Vacancies	0		_		
E. Indicate Proposed Advisory Services to be	used (see Relocation Manual for	r further explanation):			
1) Individual interviews	Yes	3) Written Notifications			
2) Meetings	Yes	Other - describe in box provided:			
Threshold Justification per Applicant					
		be displacement of tenants. Tenants will be relocated from existing LaGrange Houried at time of application, but rather within 30 days of award announcement.	ousing Authority	porfolio to the	proposed
DCA's Comments:					
5 AFFIRMATIVELY FURTHERING FAI	IR HOUSING (AFFH)		Pass?		
5 AFFIRMATIVELY FURTHERING FAI If selected, does the Applicant agree to prep	• •	eting plan that:	Pass?		
If selected, does the Applicant agree to prep	pare and submit an AFFH Marke	eting plan that: or local disability advocacy organization in the county in which the project is	Pass?	Agree	
If selected, does the Applicant agree to prep A. Incorporates outreach efforts to each serv	pare and submit an AFFH Marke vice provider, homeless shelter	or local disability advocacy organization in the county in which the project is	Pass? A. B.	Agree	
If selected, does the Applicant agree to prep A. Incorporates outreach efforts to each serv located? B. Has a strategy that affirmatively markets to	pare and submit an AFFH Market vice provider, homeless shelter persons with disabilities and the	or local disability advocacy organization in the county in which the project is	A.		
If selected, does the Applicant agree to prep A. Incorporates outreach efforts to each serv located? B. Has a strategy that affirmatively markets to C. Has a strategy that establishes and maintai	pare and submit an AFFH Market vice provider, homeless shelter persons with disabilities and the ins relationships between the mat that will be used to refer tenants	or local disability advocacy organization in the county in which the project is homeless? anagement agent and community service providers? to the projects, the screening criteria that will be used, and makes reasonable	A. B.	Agree	
If selected, does the Applicant agree to prep A. Incorporates outreach efforts to each serv located? B. Has a strategy that affirmatively markets to C. Has a strategy that establishes and maintai D. Includes a referral and screening process t	pare and submit an AFFH Market vice provider, homeless shelter persons with disabilities and the ins relationships between the ma that will be used to refer tenants e of persons with disabilities or the	or local disability advocacy organization in the county in which the project is homeless? anagement agent and community service providers? to the projects, the screening criteria that will be used, and makes reasonable he homeless into the project?	A. B. C.	Agree Agree	
If selected, does the Applicant agree to prep A. Incorporates outreach efforts to each serv located? B. Has a strategy that affirmatively markets to C. Has a strategy that establishes and maintai D. Includes a referral and screening process t accommodations to facilitate the admittance.	pare and submit an AFFH Market vice provider, homeless shelter persons with disabilities and the ins relationships between the ma that will be used to refer tenants e of persons with disabilities or the erved populations 2-4 months pri	or local disability advocacy organization in the county in which the project is a homeless? anagement agent and community service providers? to the projects, the screening criteria that will be used, and makes reasonable he homeless into the project? ior to occupancy?	A. B. C. D.	Agree Agree Agree	
If selected, does the Applicant agree to prep A. Incorporates outreach efforts to each serv located? B. Has a strategy that affirmatively markets to C. Has a strategy that establishes and maintai D. Includes a referral and screening process t accommodations to facilitate the admittance E. Includes marketing of properties to underse F. Includes making applications for affordable	pare and submit an AFFH Market vice provider, homeless shelter persons with disabilities and the ins relationships between the ma that will be used to refer tenants e of persons with disabilities or the erved populations 2-4 months pri e units available to public location	or local disability advocacy organization in the county in which the project is a homeless? anagement agent and community service providers? to the projects, the screening criteria that will be used, and makes reasonable he homeless into the project? ior to occupancy?	A. B. C. D.	Agree Agree Agree Agree Agree	
If selected, does the Applicant agree to prep A. Incorporates outreach efforts to each serv located? B. Has a strategy that affirmatively markets to C. Has a strategy that establishes and maintai D. Includes a referral and screening process t accommodations to facilitate the admittance E. Includes marketing of properties to underse F. Includes making applications for affordable G. Includes outreach to Limited English Profici H. If selected, does the Applicant agree to pro	pare and submit an AFFH Market vice provider, homeless shelter persons with disabilities and the ins relationships between the mathat will be used to refer tenants e of persons with disabilities or the erved populations 2-4 months prior units available to public location iency groups for languages identity ovide reasonable accommodation	or local disability advocacy organization in the county in which the project is homeless? anagement agent and community service providers? to the projects, the screening criteria that will be used, and makes reasonable he homeless into the project? ior to occupancy? ns including at least one that has night hours?	A. B. C. D.	Agree Agree Agree Agree	
If selected, does the Applicant agree to prep A. Incorporates outreach efforts to each serv located? B. Has a strategy that affirmatively markets to C. Has a strategy that establishes and maintai D. Includes a referral and screening process t accommodations to facilitate the admittance E. Includes marketing of properties to underse F. Includes making applications for affordable G. Includes outreach to Limited English Profici H. If selected, does the Applicant agree to procriteria must clearly facilitate admission and	pare and submit an AFFH Market vice provider, homeless shelter persons with disabilities and the ins relationships between the mathat will be used to refer tenants e of persons with disabilities or the erved populations 2-4 months priese units available to public location iency groups for languages identity or the provide reasonable accommodation of targeted populations.	or local disability advocacy organization in the county in which the project is homeless? anagement agent and community service providers? at to the projects, the screening criteria that will be used, and makes reasonable he homeless into the project? ior to occupancy? Institution in the surrounding market area? In for these tenants in the Property Management's tenant application? Leasing in tenants and must not violate federal or state fair housing laws.	A. B. C. D. E. F.	Agree Agree Agree Agree Agree Agree Agree	
If selected, does the Applicant agree to prep A. Incorporates outreach efforts to each serv located? B. Has a strategy that affirmatively markets to C. Has a strategy that establishes and maintai D. Includes a referral and screening process t accommodations to facilitate the admittance E. Includes marketing of properties to underse F. Includes making applications for affordable G. Includes outreach to Limited English Profici H. If selected, does the Applicant agree to procriteria must clearly facilitate admission and	pare and submit an AFFH Market vice provider, homeless shelter persons with disabilities and the ins relationships between the mathat will be used to refer tenants e of persons with disabilities or the erved populations 2-4 months priese units available to public location iency groups for languages identity or the provide reasonable accommodation of targeted populations.	or local disability advocacy organization in the county in which the project is homeless? anagement agent and community service providers? to the projects, the screening criteria that will be used, and makes reasonable he homeless into the project? ior to occupancy? ns including at least one that has night hours? tified as being prevalent in the surrounding market area? on for these tenants in the Property Management's tenant application? Leasing	A. B. C. D. E. F.	Agree Agree Agree Agree Agree Agree Agree	
If selected, does the Applicant agree to prep A. Incorporates outreach efforts to each serv located? B. Has a strategy that affirmatively markets to C. Has a strategy that establishes and maintai D. Includes a referral and screening process t accommodations to facilitate the admittance E. Includes marketing of properties to underse F. Includes making applications for affordable G. Includes outreach to Limited English Profici H. If selected, does the Applicant agree to procriteria must clearly facilitate admission and	pare and submit an AFFH Market vice provider, homeless shelter persons with disabilities and the ins relationships between the mathat will be used to refer tenants e of persons with disabilities or the erved populations 2-4 months priese units available to public location iency groups for languages identity or the provide reasonable accommodation of targeted populations.	or local disability advocacy organization in the county in which the project is homeless? anagement agent and community service providers? at to the projects, the screening criteria that will be used, and makes reasonable he homeless into the project? ior to occupancy? Institution in the surrounding market area? In for these tenants in the Property Management's tenant application? Leasing in tenants and must not violate federal or state fair housing laws.	A. B. C. D. E. F.	Agree Agree Agree Agree Agree Agree Agree	
If selected, does the Applicant agree to prep A. Incorporates outreach efforts to each serv located? B. Has a strategy that affirmatively markets to C. Has a strategy that establishes and maintai D. Includes a referral and screening process t accommodations to facilitate the admittance E. Includes marketing of properties to underse F. Includes making applications for affordable G. Includes outreach to Limited English Profici H. If selected, does the Applicant agree to pro- criteria must clearly facilitate admission and Threshold Justification per Applicant selected the applicant agrees to AFFH Marketing per services.	pare and submit an AFFH Market vice provider, homeless shelter persons with disabilities and the ins relationships between the mathat will be used to refer tenants e of persons with disabilities or the erved populations 2-4 months priese units available to public location iency groups for languages identity or the provide reasonable accommodation of targeted populations.	or local disability advocacy organization in the county in which the project is homeless? anagement agent and community service providers? at to the projects, the screening criteria that will be used, and makes reasonable he homeless into the project? ior to occupancy? Institution in the surrounding market area? In for these tenants in the Property Management's tenant application? Leasing in tenants and must not violate federal or state fair housing laws.	A. B. C. D. E. F.	Agree Agree Agree Agree Agree Agree Agree	
If selected, does the Applicant agree to prep A. Incorporates outreach efforts to each serv located? B. Has a strategy that affirmatively markets to C. Has a strategy that establishes and maintai D. Includes a referral and screening process t accommodations to facilitate the admittance E. Includes marketing of properties to underse F. Includes making applications for affordable G. Includes outreach to Limited English Profici H. If selected, does the Applicant agree to pro- criteria must clearly facilitate admission and Threshold Justification per Applicant selected the applicant agrees to AFFH Marketing per services.	pare and submit an AFFH Market vice provider, homeless shelter persons with disabilities and the ins relationships between the mathat will be used to refer tenants e of persons with disabilities or the erved populations 2-4 months pries units available to public location itency groups for languages identifications of targeted population per all the above; if selected, the	or local disability advocacy organization in the county in which the project is homeless? anagement agent and community service providers? at to the projects, the screening criteria that will be used, and makes reasonable he homeless into the project? ior to occupancy? Institution in the surrounding market area? In for these tenants in the Property Management's tenant application? Leasing in tenants and must not violate federal or state fair housing laws.	A. B. C. D. E. F.	Agree Agree Agree Agree Agree Agree Agree	
If selected, does the Applicant agree to prep A. Incorporates outreach efforts to each serv located? B. Has a strategy that affirmatively markets to C. Has a strategy that establishes and maintai D. Includes a referral and screening process t accommodations to facilitate the admittance E. Includes marketing of properties to underse F. Includes making applications for affordable G. Includes outreach to Limited English Profici H. If selected, does the Applicant agree to procriteria must clearly facilitate admission and Threshold Justification per Applicant selected the applicant agrees to AFFH Marketing pDCA's Comments:	pare and submit an AFFH Market vice provider, homeless shelter persons with disabilities and the ins relationships between the mathat will be used to refer tenants e of persons with disabilities or the erved populations 2-4 months priese units available to public location iency groups for languages identicated inclusion of targeted population per all the above; if selected, the	or local disability advocacy organization in the county in which the project is a homeless? anagement agent and community service providers? at to the projects, the screening criteria that will be used, and makes reasonable the homeless into the project? ior to occupancy? as including at least one that has night hours? tified as being prevalent in the surrounding market area? an for these tenants in the Property Management's tenant application? Leasing an tenants and must not violate federal or state fair housing laws. The applicant agrees to submit an AFFH Marketing Plan.	A. B. C. D. E. F. G. H.	Agree Agree Agree Agree Agree Agree Agree	
If selected, does the Applicant agree to prep A. Incorporates outreach efforts to each serv located? B. Has a strategy that affirmatively markets to C. Has a strategy that establishes and maintai D. Includes a referral and screening process t accommodations to facilitate the admittance E. Includes marketing of properties to underse F. Includes making applications for affordable G. Includes outreach to Limited English Profici H. If selected, does the Applicant agree to pro- criteria must clearly facilitate admission and Threshold Justification per Applicant selected the applicant agrees to AFFH Marketing p DCA's Comments: 16 OPTIMAL UTILIZATION OF RESOURTHESHOLD JUstification per Applicant he Financing structure considered minimizing DCA	pare and submit an AFFH Market vice provider, homeless shelter persons with disabilities and the ins relationships between the mathat will be used to refer tenants e of persons with disabilities or the erved populations 2-4 months priese units available to public location iency groups for languages identicated inclusion of targeted population per all the above; if selected, the	or local disability advocacy organization in the county in which the project is homeless? anagement agent and community service providers? at to the projects, the screening criteria that will be used, and makes reasonable he homeless into the project? ior to occupancy? Institution in the surrounding market area? In for these tenants in the Property Management's tenant application? Leasing in tenants and must not violate federal or state fair housing laws.	A. B. C. D. E. F. G. H.	Agree Agree Agree Agree Agree Agree Agree	
If selected, does the Applicant agree to prep A. Incorporates outreach efforts to each serv located? B. Has a strategy that affirmatively markets to C. Has a strategy that establishes and maintai D. Includes a referral and screening process t accommodations to facilitate the admittance E. Includes marketing of properties to underse F. Includes making applications for affordable G. Includes outreach to Limited English Profici H. If selected, does the Applicant agree to procriteria must clearly facilitate admission and Threshold Justification per Applicant selected the applicant agrees to AFFH Marketing pDCA's Comments:	pare and submit an AFFH Market vice provider, homeless shelter persons with disabilities and the ins relationships between the mathat will be used to refer tenants e of persons with disabilities or the erved populations 2-4 months priese units available to public location iency groups for languages identicated inclusion of targeted population per all the above; if selected, the	or local disability advocacy organization in the county in which the project is a homeless? anagement agent and community service providers? at to the projects, the screening criteria that will be used, and makes reasonable the homeless into the project? ior to occupancy? as including at least one that has night hours? tified as being prevalent in the surrounding market area? an for these tenants in the Property Management's tenant application? Leasing an tenants and must not violate federal or state fair housing laws. The applicant agrees to submit an AFFH Marketing Plan.	A. B. C. D. E. F. G. H.	Agree Agree Agree Agree Agree Agree Agree	

		SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-0 Phoenix La		range, Troup County				
		cants must include comments in sections where points are c			Score		Self	DCA
		only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on su		re funding round scoring decisions.	Value			Score
·	Failure to do so v	will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" dedu	iction.	T0T410		=		
				TOTALS:	92	=	69	20
1. APPLICATION COMPLETENESS		(Applicants start with 10 pts. Any p	oints entered	will be <u>subtracted</u> from score value)	10		10	10
A. Missing or Incomplete Documents	Number:	3 1				Α.	0	0
Organization	Number:	171			1		0	0
B. Financial and Other Adjustments	Number:					B.	0	0
DCA's Comments:		Enter "1" for each ite		V.				
A. Missing or Illegible or Inaccurate Documents or	Nbr	1	Nbr	1		_	Nb	
Application Not Organized Correctly	0	INCOMPLETE Documents:	0	B. Financial adjustments/revi	sions:		0	
1			n/a	1			n/a	a
2		2		2				
3		3	included in	3		i	include	d in 2
			2					
4		4		4		i	include	d in 2
5		5	included in	5				
			4	3				
			-					
,		/		<i>t</i>				
0		0		6				
7		7		7				
I			included in	/				
			6					
8		8		8				
9		9	included in	9				
			8					
10		10		10				
11		11	included in	11				
			10					
12		12		12				

PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-0 Phoenix Landing, LaGrange, Troup C	ounty			
KEMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sections where points are claimed. Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction.	decisions.	Score Value	Self Score	DCA Score
	TOTALS:	92	69	20
2. DEEPER TARGETING / RENT / INCOME RESTRICTIONS Choose A or B.		3	2	0
A. Deeper Targeting through Rent Restrictions Total Residential Units: 70				
Applicant agrees to set income limits at 50% AMI and gross rents at or Per Applicant Per DCA Actual Percent of	Residential Units:			
below 30% of the 50% income limit for at least: Nbr of Restricted Residential Units: Per Applicant	Per DCA	2	A. 0	0
1. 15% of total residential units 0.00%	0.00%	1	1. 0	0
or 2. 20% of total residential units 0.00%	0.00%	2	2. 0	0
B. Deeper Targeting through New PBRA Contracts Nbr of PBRA Residential Units:		3	B. 2	0
1. 15% (at least) of residential units to have PBRA for 10+ yrs: 70 100.00%	0.00%	2	1. 2	0
2. Application receives at least 3 points under Section VII. Stable Communities. Points awarded in Sect VII: 0	0	1	2. 0	0
3. DESIRABLE AND UNDESIRABLE CHARACTERISTICS Is the completed and executed DCA Desirable/Undesirable Certification form included in the appropriate application tab, in both the original Excel ver A. Desirable Activities (1 or 2 pts each - see QAP) Complete this section using results from the proposed site activities/Characteristics (1 pt - see QAP) DCA Desirable/Undesirable Certification per Applicant There are no undesirable amenities near the proposed site and there are (4) desirable amenities within 1 mile of proposed site. Please note: The concept sidewalk that will connect to existing sidewalks on Witesville Raod. All sidewalk construction will occur onsite therefore, no "off-ste" cost or building permit DCA's Comments:	rom completed current tion form. Submit this signed PDF, where cklist tual site development	12 1 various	13 Yes A. 12 B. 1 C. 0	0
DOA'S COMMENTS.				
4. COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION OPTIONS See scoring criteria for further requirement.	nts and information	6	2	0
4. COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION OPTIONS Evaluation Criteria Competitive Pool chosen: Rural	nts and information	6	Applicant	DCA
·	nts and information	6		
Evaluation Criteria Competitive Pool chosen: Rural	nts and information	6	Applicant Agrees?	DCA
Evaluation Criteria Competitive Pool chosen: Rural 1. All community transportation services are accessible to tenants by Paved Pedestrian Walkways.	nts and information	6	Applicant Agrees?	DCA
Evaluation Criteria Competitive Pool chosen: Rural 1. All community transportation services are accessible to tenants by Paved Pedestrian Walkways. 2. DCA has measured all required distances between a pedestrian site entrance and the transit stop along Paved Pedestrian Walkways.		6	Applicant Agrees? Yes	DCA
Evaluation Criteria Competitive Pool chosen: Rural All community transportation services are accessible to tenants by Paved Pedestrian Walkways. DCA has measured all required distances between a pedestrian site entrance and the transit stop along Paved Pedestrian Walkways. Each residential building is accessible to the pedestrian site entrance via an on-site Paved Pedestrian Walkway. Paved Pedestrian Walkway is in existence by Application Submission. If not, but is immediately adjacent to Applicant site, Applicant has submit		6	Applicant Agrees? Yes	DCA

	PART NINE - SCORII	ING CRITERIA - 2017	<mark>'-0 Phoenix Landing, LaG</mark>	Grange, Troup County				
	Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the	ust include comments in section he corresponding funding round at It in a one (1) point "Application"	nd have no effect on subsequent or future	re funding round scoring decisions.	Score Value	. [Self Score	DCA Score
				TOTALS:	92		69	20
Fle	exible Pool Choose <u>A or B.</u>							
	. Transit-Oriented Development Choose either optio	on 1 <u>or</u> 2 under A.			6	A.	0	0
	1. Site is owned by local transit agency & is strategically t	targeted by agency to	For ALL options under th	nis scoring criterion, <u>regardless</u> of	5	1.		
	create housing with on site or adjacent access to publi		Competitive Pool chosen,	, provide the information below for the				
OR	2. Site is within one (1) mile* of a transit hub		transit	agency/service:	4	2.		
	3. Applicant in A1 or A2 above serves Family tenancy.		<< Enter transit agency/service name h	here >> <enter here="" phone=""></enter>	1	3.		
В.	Access to Public Transportation Choose only one o	option in B.			3	B.	0	0
	1. Site is within 1/4 mile * of an established public transpo	ortation stop		ng established <u>schedule</u> from transit agency	3	1.		
OR	Site is within 1/2 mile * of an established public transport	ortation stop	website here >>		2	2.		
OR	3. Site is within one (1) mile * of an established public tra	ansportation stop		ng established <u>routes</u> from transit agency	1	3.		
Ru	<u>ural Pool</u>		website (if different) here >>					
	4. Publicly operated/sponsored and established transit	t service (including on-call	service onsite or fixed-route servi	ice within 1/2 mile of site entrance*)	2	4.	2	
*As	s measured from an entrance to the site that is accessible to pedestria	ans and connected by sidew	alks or established pedestrian wa	alkways to the transportation hub/stop.				
	Scoring Justification per Applicant							
	blic Transportation is a call and ride. The bus will come to residents d	door of development and picl	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				ccur
	site therefore, no "off-site" cost or building permits were necessary for	item # 4 above was answer	ed "N/A". Item # 5 was also answ	wered "N/A" since the service is a call an	d ride there	are n	o routes	
mai	ırk.	titem # 4 above was answer	ed "N/A". Item # 5 was also answ	wered "N/A" since the service is a call an	d ride there	are n	o routes	
		item # 4 above was answer	ed "N/A". Item # 5 was also answ	wered "N/A" since the service is a call an	d ride there	are n	o routes	
mai	DCA's Comments:					are n		
mai	DCA's Comments: BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation	nn)	ed "N/A". Item # 5 was also answ		d ride there	are n	o routes	
5.	BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and designated site as a Brownfield site as a	on) determined cleanup guidelines:	See scoring criteria for further re			are n		
5. A. B.	BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and designated of opinion ltr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of the stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of the stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of the stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of the stating that property appears to meet requiremts.	on) determined cleanup guidelines: of EPD No Further Action or Limit	See scoring criteria for further re]		to
5. A. B.	BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and designated site as a Brownfield site as a	on) determined cleanup guidelines: of EPD No Further Action or Limit	See scoring criteria for further re]	0	to
5. A. B.	BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and designated of opinion ltr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of the stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of the stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of the stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of the stating that property appears to meet requiremts.	on) determined cleanup guidelines: of EPD No Further Action or Limit	See scoring criteria for further re]	0	to
5. A. B.	BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and designated site as a Brownfield site as a	on) determined cleanup guidelines: of EPD No Further Action or Limit	See scoring criteria for further re]	0	to
5. A. B.	BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and designated site as a Brownfield site as a	on) determined cleanup guidelines: of EPD No Further Action or Limit	See scoring criteria for further re]	0	to
5. A. B.	BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and designated of opinion ltr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in DCA's Comments:	on) determined cleanup guidelines: of EPD No Further Action or Limit	See scoring criteria for further re	equirements and information	2]	0 Yes/No	Yes/No
5. A. B.	BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and designated of opinion ltr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance as the estimated cost of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in DCA's Comments: SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS	on) determined cleanup guidelines: of EPD No Further Action or Limit	See scoring criteria for further real	equirements and information	2]	0 Yes/No	Yes/No
5. A. B.	BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and descended of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in DCA's Comments: SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requirements. Competitive Pool chosen:	on) determined cleanup guidelines: of EPD No Further Action or Limit n the development budget?	See scoring criteria for further realistion of Liability Itr Earth Craft House Ren	equirements and information	3]	0 Yes/No	Yes/No
5. A. B.	BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and designated of some of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in DCA's Comments: SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requirements. Competitive Pool chosen: DCA's Green Building for Affordable Housing Training Date of	on) determined cleanup guidelines: of EPD No Further Action or Limit	See scoring criteria for further realistion of Liability Itr Earth Craft House Ren	equirements and information	3]	0 Yes/No	Yes/No
5. A. B.	BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and designated of some extension of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in DCA's Comments: SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requirements. Competitive Pool chosen: DCA's Green Building for Affordable Housing Training Course - Participation Certificate obtained?	on) determined cleanup guidelines: of EPD No Further Action or Limit in the development budget? of Course 2/14/17	See scoring criteria for further reation of Liability ltr Earth Craft House Ren Rural Ben Moore < <enter 's="" here="" name="" participant="">></enter>	equirements and information novation Fyffe Construction Co., Inc. & Vantage Developr <-Enter Participant 's Company Name here>>>	2 3]	0 Yes/No	Yes/No
5. A. B.	BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and designated site as a Brownfield and designated of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in DCA's Comments: SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requirements. Competitive Pool chosen: DCA's Green Building for Affordable Housing Training Course - Participation Certificate obtained? An active current version of draft scoring worksheet for developments.	on) determined cleanup guidelines: of EPD No Further Action or Limit in the development budget? of Course of Course of Course of, illustrating compliance w/	See scoring criteria for further reation of Liability ltr Earth Craft House Ren Rural Ben Moore < <enter 's="" here="" name="" participant="">></enter>	equirements and information novation Fyffe Construction Co., Inc. & Vantage Developr <-Enter Participant 's Company Name here>>>	2 3]	0 Yes/No	Yes/No
5. A. B. C.	BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and designated site as a Brownfield and designated of section of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in DCA's Comments: SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requirements. Competitive Pool chosen: DCA's Green Building for Affordable Housing Training Course - Participation Certificate obtained? An active current version of draft scoring worksheet for development For Rehab developments - required Energy Audit Report submitted	on) determined cleanup guidelines: of EPD No Further Action or Limit in the development budget? of Course of Course of Course of, illustrating compliance w/	See scoring criteria for further reation of Liability Itr Earth Craft House Ren Rural Ben Moore < <enter 's="" here="" name="" participant="">> minimum score required under pr</enter>	equirements and information novation Fyffe Construction Co., Inc. & Vantage Developr < <enter 's="" company="" here="" name="" participant="">> rogram selected, is included in application</enter>	2 3 ment, LLC	c. [O Yes/No 2 Yes Yes N/a	Yes/No O
5. A. B. C.	BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and designated site as a Brownfield and designated of section of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in DCA's Comments: SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requirements. Competitive Pool chosen: DCA's Green Building for Affordable Housing Training Date of Course - Participation Certificate obtained? An active current version of draft scoring worksheet for development For Rehab developments - required Energy Audit Report submitted. Sustainable Communities Certification	on) determined cleanup guidelines: of EPD No Further Action or Limit in the development budget? of Course of Course of, illustrating compliance w/ ed per current QAP?	See scoring criteria for further reation of Liability Itr Earth Craft House Ren Rural Ben Moore < <enter 's="" here="" name="" participant="">> minimum score required under pr</enter>	equirements and information novation Fyffe Construction Co., Inc. & Vantage Developr < <enter 's="" company="" here="" name="" participant="">> rogram selected, is included in application</enter>	2 3	c. [O Yes/No Yes/No Yes N/a Yes/No	Yes/No O
5. A. B. C.	BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and designated of some of opinion ltr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in DCA's Comments: SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requirements. Competitive Pool chosen: DCA's Green Building for Affordable Housing Training Course - Participation Certificate obtained? An active current version of draft scoring worksheet for development For Rehab developments - required Energy Audit Report submitted as Sustainable Communities Certification Project seeks to obtain a sustainable community certification from the	on) determined cleanup guidelines: of EPD No Further Action or Limit in the development budget? of Course of Course of, illustrating compliance w/ ed per current QAP?	See scoring criteria for further reation of Liability Itr Earth Craft House Ren Rural Ben Moore < <enter 's="" here="" name="" participant="">> minimum score required under pr</enter>	equirements and information novation Fyffe Construction Co., Inc. & Vantage Developr < <enter 's="" company="" here="" name="" participant="">> rogram selected, is included in application</enter>	2 3 ment, LLC	c. [O Yes/No 2 Yes Yes N/a	Yes/No O
5. A. B. C.	BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and designated of some of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in DCA's Comments: SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requirements. Competitive Pool chosen: DCA's Green Building for Affordable Housing Training Course - Participation Certificate obtained? An active current version of draft scoring worksheet for developments For Rehab developments - required Energy Audit Report submitted as Sustainable Communities Certification Project seeks to obtain a sustainable community certification from the 1. EarthCraft Communities	on) determined cleanup guidelines: of EPD No Further Action or Limit in the development budget? of Course of Course of, illustrating compliance w/ ed per current QAP?	See scoring criteria for further reation of Liability Itr Earth Craft House Ren Rural Ben Moore < <enter 's="" here="" name="" participant="">> minimum score required under pr Date of Audit</enter>	equirements and information novation Fyffe Construction Co., Inc. & Vantage Developr < <enter 's="" company="" here="" name="" participant="">> rogram selected, is included in application Date of Report</enter>	2 3 ment, LLC	c. [O Yes/No Yes/No Yes N/a Yes/No	Yes/No O
5. A. B. C.	BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and designated of some of opinion ltr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in DCA's Comments: SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requirements. Competitive Pool chosen: DCA's Green Building for Affordable Housing Training Course - Participation Certificate obtained? An active current version of draft scoring worksheet for development For Rehab developments - required Energy Audit Report submitted as Sustainable Communities Certification Project seeks to obtain a sustainable community certification from the	on) determined cleanup guidelines: of EPD No Further Action or Limit in the development budget? of Course of Course int, illustrating compliance w/ ed per current QAP? the program chosen above?	See scoring criteria for further reation of Liability Itr Earth Craft House Ren Rural Ben Moore < <enter 's="" here="" name="" participant="">> minimum score required under pr Date of Audit elopment where the project is local</enter>	equirements and information novation Fyffe Construction Co., Inc. & Vantage Developr < <enter 's="" company="" here="" name="" participant="">> rogram selected, is included in application Date of Report</enter>	2 3 ment, LLC	c. [O Yes/No Yes/No Yes N/a Yes/No	Yes/No O

	PART NINE - SCORIN	IG CRITERIA - 2	017-0 Phoenix L	anding, La	Grange, Troup Coι	inty			
	REMINDER: Applicants mus						Score	Self	DCA
	<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the Failure to do so will result				ure funding round scoring deci	sions.	Value	Score	_
	Lanure to do so will result	irra one (17 boint Abblica	mon combleteness dec	uction.	-	TOTALS:	92	69	20
	b) Name of nonrelated third party LEED AP that prepared Feasibilit	y Study:	<< Enter LEED AP's	Name here>>	<< Enter LEED AP 's Compa	any Name here>>			
Со	mmitments for Building Certification:							Yes/No	Yes/No
	1. Project will comply with the program version in effect at the time		orepared for permit re	view?				1. Yes	
	2. Project will meet program threshold requirements for Building Su	•	tat as an ilas as as tar at the		0			2. Yes	
	3. Owner will engage in tenant and building manager education in o	·						3. Yes	
	•	taining a sustainable bu	uilding certification fro	m the program	n chosen above?		1	B. Yes	
C.	Exceptional Sustainable Building Certification Project committee a photograph a questionable building certificate from	um aartifuina hadu dam	anatrating that praise	achieved high	and lovel of portification	ahaaan ahaya?	3		Yes/No
ח	 Project commits to obtaining a sustainable building certificate from the proposed building Design The proposed building Design 			. acmeved nigr	lest level of certification (chosen above?	1	1. No D. 1	0
٠.	1. A worst case HERS Index that is at least 15% lower than the EN	•					•	1. Yes	J
	2. A 10% improvement over the baseline building performance ration	0 0, 0	,	0		od outlined in		2. No	
	ASHRAE 90.1-2010 Appendix G with additional guidance from the								
3. For minor, moderate, or substantial rehabilitations, a projected reduction in energy consumption ≥ 30%, documented by a RESNET-approved HERS Rating softw or ENERGY STAR compliant whole building energy model? Baseline performance should be modeled using existing conditions.								3. No	
	Scoring Justification per Applicant								
	DOM: Ourself								
	DCA's Comments:								
	STABLE COMMUNITIES	(Must use data	from the most current FEII	C cansus raport	, published as of January 1, 20	116)	7	0	0
		(Musicuse data	nom the most current i in	-o consus report,	, published as of surfacily 1, 20	,10)	3		
А &	Census Tract Demographics Competitive Pool chosen: Rural						3	0 Yes/No	Yes/No
ц В.	Project is located in a census tract that meets the following demonstrate demonstrate the following demonstrate demonstr	ographics according to	the most recent FFIE	C Census Rer	port (www.ffiec.gov/Cens	us/):		No	103/140
	2. Less than				Actual Percent 24.7				
	11	mographics)			Designation: <sel< th=""><th>ect></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></sel<>	ect>			
	4. (Flexible Pool) Project is NOT located in a census tract that me	-			FFIEC Census Report			N/a	
_	(www.ffiec.gov/Census/), but IS located within 1/4 mile of such a	a census tract. (Applicant	answer to Question 1 above	cannot be "Yes".)	Dor Applicant	Por DCA	2	0	
C. Georgia Department of Public Health Stable Communities Sub-cluster in which project is located, according to the most recent GDPH data hosted on the DCA "Multi-Family Affordable Select> Per Applicant Per DCA Select> Select>							4		0
	Housing Properties" map:	ODI II data 1103tcd 011 t	and DOA Maid anning	Tarordabie	10010012	10010012			
D.	Mixed-Income Developments in Stable Communities Market	units: 0	Total Units:	70	Mkt Pct of Total:	0.00%	2	0	0
	DCA's Comments:								

eorg	ia Department of Community Affairs	2017 Funding A	Application	Housing Fin	ance and Dev	elopment Divisio
		SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-0 Ph		nge, Troup County		
	<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertail	icants must include comments in sections when n only to the corresponding funding round and have will result in a one (1) point "Application Comple	no effect on subsequent or future fu	unding round scoring decisions.	Score Value 92	Self DCA Score Score
8.	TRANSFORMATIONAL COMMUNITIES	(choose A or B)			10	10 0
	Is this application eligible for two or more points under 2017	,	gardless of whether the point	s are requested?	.0	N/a
	If applying for sub-section A, is the completed and executed	DCA Neighborhood Redevelopment Certi	fication included in the approp	oriate tab of the application?		N/a
	If applying for sub-section B, is the completed and executed	DCA Community Transformation Plan Ce	rtificate included in the approp	priate tab of the application?		Yes
	Eligibility - The Plan (if Transformation Plan builds on ex	kisting Revitalization Plan meeting DCA sta	ndards, fill out both Revitaliza	ation Plan and Transformation Plar	n columns):	
			Revitaliza			ormation Plan
	a) Clearly deligerates torgeted area that includes proposed	d president aite, but done not	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No
	 a) Clearly delineates targeted area that includes proposed encompass entire surrounding city / municipality / cour 		a) No <pre><enter f<="" nbr(s)="" page="" pre=""></enter></pre>	rom Plans	Yes	14
	b) Includes public input and engagement during the plann		b) No	Tom Flanz	Yes	14
	,		<enter f<="" nbr(s)="" page="" td=""><td>rom Plan></td><td></td><td>15</td></enter>	rom Plan>		15
	c) Calls for the rehabilitation or production of affordable re	ental housing as a policy goal for the	c) No		Yes	
	community?		<enter f<="" nbr(s)="" page="" td=""><td>rom Plan ></td><td></td><td>23</td></enter>	rom Plan >		23
	d) Designates implementation measures along w/specific	time frames for achievement of	d) No		Yes	
	policies & housing activities?		<enter f<="" nbr(s)="" page="" td=""><td>rom Plan></td><td></td><td>24</td></enter>	rom Plan>		24
	The specific time frames and implementation measure	s are current and ongoing?	No <pre><enter fi<="" nbr(s)="" page="" pre=""></enter></pre>	rom Plans	Yes	25
	e) Discusses resources that will be utilized to implement to	he plan?	e) No	TOTT FIGHT?	Yes	25
	o, 2.0000000 .00000.000 m.a 20 a200 top.oo		<enter fi<="" nbr(s)="" page="" td=""><td>rom Plan></td><td>100</td><td>25-26</td></enter>	rom Plan>	100	25-26
	f) Is included in full in the appropriate tab of the application	on binder?	f) No		Yes	
	Website address (URL) of Revitalization Plan:		<u> </u>			
	Website address (URL) of Transformation Plan:	www.phalagrange.net				
A.	Community Revitalization				2 A	Yes/No Yes/No
	.) Plan details specific work efforts directly affecting proje	ect site?		i.) Enter page nbr(s) here	į	.)
	i.) Revitalization Plan has been officially	Date Plan originally adopted by Local Go		ii.)	ii	.)
	adopted (and if necessary, renewed) by the Local Govt?	Time (#yrs, #mths) from Plan Adoption to				
	iii.) Public input and engagement during the planning stage	Date(s) Plan reauthorized/renewed by Lo	cai Government, it applicable): 		
	a) Date(s) of Public Notice to surrounding community:	a)				
	Publication Name(s)					
	b) Type of event:	b) < <select 1="" event="" type="">></select>	<<	Select Event 2 type>>		
	Date(s) of event(s):			0.15.111.01		
	c) Letters of Support from local non- government entities. Type: Entity Name:	c) < <select 1="" entity="" type="">></select>	<<	Select Entity 2 type>>		
	government entities. Entity Name:		witten Community Davitalizat	ion Plan for the appoific community	v in	

Project is in a QCT?

which the property will be located.

9609.01

Eligible Basis Adjustment:

2. Qualified Census Tract and Community Revitalization Plan - Application proposes to develop housing that is in a Qualified Census Tract and that contributes to

Census Tract Number:

a written Community Revitalization Plan for the specific community in which the property will be located.

DDA/QCT

PA	ART NINE - SCORING CR	ITERIA - 2017	-0 Phoenix L	anding, LaGrange, Troup County				
	หะเพเพมะหะ Applicants must include					Score	Self	DCA
<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring sect				subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.		Value	Score	_
	Failure to do so will result in a one (1) boint "Application	Completeness" dec		ALS:	92	69	20
_				101	ALS.	92	09	20
R						6 0	Vaa	
3. Community Transformation Plan Does the Applicant reference an existing Cor	mmunity Pevitalization Plan mee	ting DCA standards	e2			6 B		
-	illinumly Revitalization Flammee	alling DCA standards	5 !				No	
1. Community-Based Team						2 1.	_	
Community-Based Developer (CBD)		wo out of the three	· ` ` `	iii) in "a" below, or "b").	CBD	11	Υ	
Entity Name LaGrange Housing Autl	*		Website	www.phalagrange.net				
Contact Name Zsa Zsa Heard	Direct Line	706 5949017	Email	zhearded@phalagrange.net			Yes/No	Yes/No
 a) i. CBD has successfully partnered with at existing elsewhere) in the last two years 					roposea or	1 ▶	Yes	
CBO 1 Name West Georgia Star	and can document that these pe	artherships have m	Purpose:	Residential Services and Transportation			Lottor o	f Support
Community/neighborhd where partnersh	nip occurred District 2		Website	www.phalagrange.net				ded?
Contact Name Chad Cooper	Direct Line	(706) 884-5032	Email	ccooper@westgeorgia.org			Yes	lucu.
CBO 2 Name LaGrange College	Direct Line	(700) 004 0002	Purpose:	Afterschool Enrichment Program				Support
Community/neighborhd where partnersh	nip occurred District 2		Website	www.lagrange.edu				ded?
Contact Name Jack Slay	Direct Line	(706) 880-8996		jslay@lagrange.edu			Yes	
				ed Neighborhood or 2) a targeted area surrou	nding their	ii	. ,,	
development in another Georgia commu	unity. Use comment box or attac	h separate explana	ation page in corr	esponding tab of Application Binder.			Yes	
				r. These funds were dedicated to education the youth	and to culltural a	and historic activ	ities. The y	outh all
resided at the housing authority. This informatio	n is diocumented in the Community Tra	nsformnation Plan on p	oages 11-12.					
::: The CPD has been collected as a requit	of a community driven initiative	by the Legal Covers	amont in a Pagu	est for Proposal or similar public bid process.		iii	Vaa	
or b) The Project Team received a HOME con	•	•		est for Proposal of similar public bid process.		b)		
,		•	as a Clibo.			,	IN/a	<u> </u>
Community Quarterback (CQB)	See QAP for red			D.C. IN.: 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	CQB	1	_	
				Defined Neighborhood, as delineated by the	Community	13-14	Yes	
Transformation Plan, to increase reside				•			Vaa	
iii. CQB Name Community Action For	<u> </u>	as CQB is included	Website	plication binder where indicated by Tabs Che	CKIIST?		Yes	
Contact Name Jennifer Corcione	Direct Line	(706) 884-2651	Email	jcorcione@cafi-ga.org				
2. Quality Transformation Plan	Direct Line	(100) 004-2031	J-maii	jeorolone weam galorg		4 2.	Yes	
Transformation Team has completed Co	ommunity Engagement and Outr	each prior to Applic	eation Submission	n?			Yes	
a) Public and Private Engagement	onimanity Engagoment and Out	odon phor to replie	Tenancy:	Elderly			100	
,	two different Transformation Pa	artner types, while S		must engage at least <u>one</u> . Applicant agre	es?			
, ,,	ortation svcs provider		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Date of Public Meeting 1 between Partners		4	/19/17	
Org Name West Georgia Star				Date(s) of publication of meeting notice	L	4/19/2017	2	
Website www.phalagrange.net				Publication(s) LaGrange Newspaper				
Contact Name Chad Cooper	Direct Line	(706) 884-5032		Social Media				
Email ccooper@westgeorgia.	org			Mtg Locatn First Baptist Church				
Role Director of Operations				Which Partners were present at Public Mto	1 between P	artners?	1 (nly

	PAF	RT NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-	O Phoenix La	inding, LaG	range, Troup County			
	K	EMINUER: Applicants must include comments in sections	s wnere points are c	laimed.		Score	Solf	DCA
	Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section	on reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and	d have no effect on su	ubsequent or futur	re funding round scoring decisions.		Self	
	F	Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application C	Completeness" dedu	uction.		Value	Score	Score
					TOTALS:	92	69	20
ii.	Transformation Partner 2 Local hea	alth provider	If "Other" Type,	Date of Public	Meeting 2 (optional) between Partnrs	5	/19/17	
	Org Name Georgia Department of P	Public Health	specify below:	Date(s) of pub	olication of meeting notice	5/22/2017		
	Website			Publication(s)	LagRange Housing Authority website			
	Contact Name Sanda McFadden	Direct Line		Social Media				
	Email Sanda.mcfadden@dph.g	ja.gov		Mtg Locatn	Ben Hilll Community Building			
	Role Adult Health Coordinator			Which Partne	rs were present at Public Mtg 2 between	Partners?		
b)	Citizen Outreach Choose 6	either "I" or "ii" below for (b).		_			Yes/No	Yes/No
i.	Survey Copy of b	blank survey and itemized summary of results inclu	ded in correspond	ding tab in appl	lication binder?	i.	Yes	
or	Nbr of Re	espondents						
ii.	Public Meetings			_		ii.		
	Meeting 1 Date	4/19/17		Dates: Mtg 2				
	Date(s) of publication of Meeting 1 notice	,			qmt met by req'd public mtg between Tra	ansformatn Pai	tners?	Yes
	Publication(s) LaGrange	e Newpaper		Publication(s)	LaGrange Newspaper			
	Social Media			Social Media				
		otist Church on Fannin Street			Ben Hill Community Building			
	Copy(-ies) of published notices provided in		Yes		published notices provided in application			'es
c)		pint format below the top 5 challenges preventing th	•	-	cal resources (according to feedback from	m the low inco	ne popul	ation to
		goals and solutions for the Transformation Team a	nd Partners to ad	ldress:				
i.	Local Population Challenge 1	Transportaion						
	Goal for increasing residents' access	Expand the service of West Georgia Star transpor			· -			
	Solution and Who Implements	West Georgia Star will implement. WGS has deve	<u> </u>		vices and this will assit their fund raising	efforts.		
	Goal for catalyzing neighborhood's access	Increase services for the elderly on Medicad, priso	on transition and t	he homeless				
	Solution and Who Implements							
ii.	Local Population Challenge 2	Health and Wellness						
	Goal for increasing residents' access	Health and wellness campaigns and bringing healt	th services to the	residents				
	Solution and Who Implements	Georgia Department for Publiuc Health						
	Goal for catalyzing neighborhood's access	GDPH - 4 would like to increase its services in cor	njunction with the	Kresge Found	ation for the District 2 residents			
	Solution and Who Implements							
III.	Local Population Challenge 3							
	Goal for increasing residents' access							
	Solution and Who Implements							
	Goal for catalyzing neighborhood's access							
	Solution and Who Implements							
IV.	Local Population Challenge 4							
	Goal for increasing residents' access							
	Solution and Who Implements							
	Goal for catalyzing neighborhood's access							
	Solution and Who Implements							
V.	Local Population Challenge 5							
	Goal for increasing residents' access							
	Solution and Who Implements							
	Goal for catalyzing neighborhood's access							

		PART NINE - S	CORING CRIT	TERIA - 2017	-0 Phoenix La	nding, LaGrange, Troup	County			
<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA	A Threshold and Sc	oring section reviews pertain	cants must include of only to the corresponding one (1)	ding funding round an	d have no effect on so	ubsequent or future funding round scori	ng decisions.	Score Value	Self Score	DCA Score
							TOTALS:	92	69	20
Solution and	Who Implements								<u> </u>	
C. Community Inves	stment							4		
1. Community Imp		d Amount / Bala	ance	50,000		Elderly		1	1.	
Source	Set aside Deve	loper Fee on the Uses to	ab in the core app	licatuion	Bank Name			Annlicants: DI	ease use "Pt I)	Y R
	Core application	1	Direct Line		Account Name				nprovmt Narr" f	
Email			T		Bank Website			provided.	iprovint rtair	idb
Bank Contact			Direct Line		Contact Email			<u> </u>		
						es and reparis. The amount of funds se	t aside will be \$7,5000 per ye	ear for 5 years	. Theother ser	vice that
Use of Funds	tne use of fund swi	ll go to is health and wellness	s programs. The amo	unt of funds will be \$2	,500/year for 5 years.					
						e way of satisfying the Community Inve				
how the secured funds	identified in the Co	mmunity Transformaation Pla	an. The Community I	ransformation identife	d transportaion and n	aelth as two of the top three reasons when	ny peopei were disatisfied wit	n their quality	of life in LaGra	nge.
support the										
Community										
Revitalization										
Plan or										
Community										
Transformation										
Plan.										
l									- 14	ı
2. Long-term Gro								1	2. Y	
						d costs for the entire property?			N/a	
,		losed in the Application	have been or will	be paid for the lea	se either directly	•			N/a	
3. Third-Party Cap		t				Competitive Pool chosen:	Rural	2	3.	
Unrelated Third-	•					City of LaGrange/Callawy Foun	dation			
Unrelated Third-						Foundation	1	Improveme	nt Completi	on Date
, ,		ity-wide in scope or was	•	•	1 3 yrs prior to App				7/30/19	
·		site in miles, rounded u	p to the next tenth	of a mile		0.0 miles				
Description of In Funding Mechar										
Description of In		The development of greenen	ago Lingar Dark on M	/hitogrilla Daad will no	t anly anhance the ac	nnectivity between I-85 Highway and d	ountour LoCrongo but mor	o importantly	مريط م الأنب	ro impost
Furtherance of F		on the LaGrange Housing A			only enhance the co	infectivity between 1-85 Highway and d	DWITTOWN LaGrange, Dut, mor	e importantiy,	wiii nave a nuç	je impaci
T ditticiance of i	ian	on the Lagrange riousing A	utilionity 3 residents res	iding at Deri Tilli						
Description of ho						Some of these benefits are noted below				
investment will s			, ,			The LHA has already seen the benefit				
tenant base for t	the proposed		•		•	lucing stress and increasing happiness.	There are studies that have	concluded tha	t 10 minutes in	an urban
development		park or wooded area could to	angibly reduce stress a	and increase happines	SS.					
Full Cost of Improver		600,000		2001		Total Development Costs (TDC): -			
as a Percent of	IDC:	5.1066%	0.00	00%		11,749,426	J			

PART NINE - SCORING CR	ITERIA - 2017-0 Phoenix Landing, LaGrange, Troup County			
<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresp	e comments in sections where points are ciaimed. Onding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions. (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction.	Score Value		DCA Score
	TOTALS:	92	69	20
D. Community Designations	(Choose only one.)	10	D. N	
 HUD Choice Neighborhood Implementation (CNI) Grant 			1. No	
2. Purpose Built Communities			2. N/a	
Scoring Justification per Applicant				
DOM: Comments				
DCA's Comments:				
9. PHASED DEVELOPMENTS / PREVIOUS PROJECTS	(choose A or B)	4	3	0
	Competitive Pool chosen: Rural			
past five (5) funding rounds (only the second and third phase of a project	Phased Development? Yes- w/Master Plan 0 Thased Development in which one or more phases received an allocation of 9% tax credits with at may receive these points) and at least one phase has commenced construction per that allocation of the phase has commenced construction per that allocation of the phase has commenced construction per that allocation of the phase has commenced construction per that allocation of the phase has commenced construction per that allocation of the phase has commenced construction per that allocation of the phase has commenced construction per that allocation of the phase has commenced construction per that allocation of the phase has commenced construction per that allocation of the phase has commenced construction per that allocation of the phase has commenced construction per that allocation of the phase has commenced construction per that allocation of the phase has commenced construction per that allocation of the phase has commenced construction per that allocation of the phase has commenced construction per that allocation of the phase has commenced construction per that allocation of the phase has commenced by the phase has commenced by the phase has commenced construction per that allocation of the phase has commenced by the phase ha		A. 0 1. N/a	
the 2017 Application Submission deadline?				
If Yes, indicate DCA Project Nbr and Project Name of the first phase:	Number: Name			
If current application is for third phase, indicate for second phase:	Number: Name		0 14-	
2. Was the community originally designed as one development with difference of the project of a submitted during the current for	•		 N/a N/a 	
 Are any other phases for this project also submitted during the current f Was site control over the entire site (including all phases) in place when 			3. N/a 4. N/a	
B. Previous Projects (Flexible Pool)	(choose 1 or 2)	3	4. N/а В. 0	0
	orgia Housing Credit development that has received an award in the last	3	D. U	U
Five (5) DCA funding cycles	organ riodoling Grount development that ride received an award in the last	3	1. 0	
OR 2. Four (4) DCA funding cycles		2	2. 0	
C. Previous Projects (Rural Pool)	(choose 1 or 3)	4	C. 3	0
The proposed development site is within a Local Government bound	·	•		
1. Within the last Five (5) DCA funding cycles	•	3	1. 3	
2. Since the 2000 DCA Housing Credit Competitive Round	(additional point)	1	2. 0	
OR 3. Within the last Four (4) DCA funding cycles		2	3. 0	
Scoring Justification per Applicant				
The last developmetn to receive funding in LaGrange area was in 2010.				
DCA's Comments:				

scorgia Bepartment of Community 7 that	10	20	17 1 difairig Application	110	asing i mane	c and bev	Ciopinici	TO DIVION
F	ART NINE -	SCORING CRITERIA	- 2017-0 Phoenix La	anding, LaGrange, Troup Coun	ty			
	кемімиек: Арр	icants must include comments	in sections where points are	ciaimed.		Score	Self	DCA
Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring so		, ,	9	subsequent or future funding round scoring decision	ons.	Value		Score
	Failure to do so	will result in a one (1) point "Ar	oplication Completeness" ded					
				To	OTALS:	92	69	20
10. MARKET CHARACTERISTICS						2	2	0
For DCA determination:							Yes/No	Yes/No
A. Are more than two DCA funded projects in base as the proposed project?	the primary mar	ket area which have physica	al occupancy rates of less t	than 90 percent and which compete for the	ne same tenant	A	A. No	
B. Has there been a significant change in ecoproposed tenant population?	nomic conditions	in the proposed market wh	ich could detrimentally affe	ect the long term viability of the proposed	project and the	E	3. No	
C. Does the proposed market area appear to	be overestimated	d, creating the likelihood tha	t the demand for the project	ct is weaker than projected?		(C. No	
D. Is the capture rate of a specific bedroom ty	pe and market s	egment over 55%?					O. No	
Scoring Justification per Applicant								
, ,,								
DCA's Comments:								
11. EXTENDED AFFORDABILITY CO	OMMITMENT	(choose	e only one)			1	1	0
A. Waiver of Qualified Contract Right						1 /	۹. 1	
Applicant agrees to forego cancellation op	tion for at least 5	yrs after close of Compliand	ce period?				Yes	
B. Tenant Ownership						1 E	3.	
Applicant commits to a plan for tenant own	ership at end of	compliance period (only app	olies to single family units).				N/a	
DCA's Comments:	·							
12. EXCEPTIONAL NON-PROFIT		0				3		
Nonprofit Setaside selection from Project I	nformation tab:	No					Yes/No	Yes/No
Is the applicant claiming these points for the		110					N/a	
Is this is the only application from this non-		these points in this funding	round?				N/a	
Is the NonProfit Assessment form and the				nn?			N/a	
DCA's Comments:	required docume	mation moladed in the appr	ophate tab of the applicant	511.			14/4	
Dorto commente.								
13. RURAL PRIORITY Comp	etitive Pool:	Rural		Urban or Rural: Rural		2	2	
Each Applicant will be limited to claiming these Applicant to designate these points to only one				est and which involves 80 or fewer units.	Failure by the	Unit Total	70	
MGP Vantage Partners 2016 Phoenix, LLC	0.0055%	Lowell R. Barron, II	NPSponsr	N/A	0.0000%	0		_
OGP1 LHA Ben Hill GP 2016, LLC	0.0045%	Zsa Zsa Heard	Developer	Vantage Development, LLC	0.0000%	Lowell R. Barr	on	
OGP2 N/A	0.0000%	0	Co-Developer 1	LaGrange Housing and Community D	0.0000%	Zsa Zsa Heard	t	
OwnCons N/A	0.0000%	0	Co-Developer 2	N/A	0.0000%	0		
Fed LP TBD - US Bank	98.9900%	0	Developmt Consult	N/A	0.0000%	0		
State LP TBD - US Bank	1.0000%	0						
Scoring Justification per Applicant				DCA's Comments:				
This is the only deal Vantage is submitting in ru	ral areas so Van	age is claiming points on th	is application.					

				oenix Landing, LaGrange, Troup	County			
	Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section	MINDER: Applicants must include con n reviews pertain only to the correspondin ailure to do so will result in a one (1) or	g funding round and have r	no effect on subsequent or future funding round scori	ng decisions.	Score Value	Self Score	DCA Score
					TOTALS:	92	69	20
14.	DCA COMMUNITY INITIATIVES					2	0	0
Α.	Georgia Initiative for Community Housing (GICH)				1	0	
	Letter from an eligible Georgia Initiative for Co	mmunity Housing team that clearly	<i>/</i> :		_		A. Yes/No	Yes/No
	1. Identifies the project as located within the	r GICH community:		< Select applicable GICH >			1. N/a	
	2. Is indicative of the community's affordable	housing goals			_		2. N/a	
	3. Identifies that the project meets one of the	objectives of the GICH Plan					3. N/a	
	4. Is executed by the GICH community's prin	mary or secondary contact on reco	rd w/ University of Geo	orgia Housing and Demographic Research (Center as of 5/1/17?		4. N/a	
	5. Has not received a tax credit award in the	•					5. N/a	
	NOTE: If more than one letter is issue					4		
В.	Designated Military Zones			Tools/programs/militaryZones.asp		1	0	
	Project site is located within the census tract o	•	(MZ). QCT? No	Census Tract #:	0600.01		B. N/a	
	City: LaGrange Scoring Justification per Applicant	County: Troup	QC1? NO	DCA's Comments:	9009.01			
	Scoring Justinication per Applicant			DOA'S COMMENS.				
15	LEVERAGING OF PUBLIC RESOUR	RCES	Com	petitive Pool chosen:	Rural	4	4	0
	Indicate that the following criteria are met:	.020	•••••			•	Yes/No	Yes/No
	a) Funding or assistance provided below is b	inding and unconditional except a	s set forth in this section	on.			a) Yes	
	b) Resources will be utilized if the project is	selected for funding by DCA.					b) Yes	
	c) Loans are for both construction and perma	anent financing phases.					c) Yes	
	d) Loans are for a minimum period of ten year		·	•	538 loans must reflect	t interest	d) Yes	
	rates at or below Bank prime loan, as pos							
	e) Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac ensured loa						e) N/a	
4	 f) If 538 loans are beng considered for point Qualifying Sources - New loans or new 			September 30, 2017. Amount			f) N/a Amount	
١.	a) Federal Home Loan Bank Affordable House		,es.	a)	1 a	1	Amount	
	b) Replacement Housing Factor Funds or otl			b) 1,200,000	b	/		
	c) HOME Funds			c)	c	′		
	d) Beltline Grant/Loan			d)	d	1)		
	e) Historic tax credit proceeds			e)] e	(*)		
	f) Community Development Block Grant (CE	DBG) program funds		f)	1	f)		
	g) National Housing Trust Fund			g)	9			
	h) Georgia TCAP acquisition loans passed the	-	loan fund	h)	h	1)		
	i) Foundation grants, or loans based from grj) Federal Government grant funds or loans	ant proceeds per QAP		i) i)		i)		
	Total Qualifying Sources (TQS):			1,200,000	1		0	
2	Point Scale	Total Development	Costs (TDC)	11,749,426	.		-	
∸.	Scoring Justification per Applicant	TQS as a Percent of	, ,	10.2133%	1		0.0000%	
	3	. 40 40 4 7 5 700 11 6						
	DCA's Comments:							

3	DART NINE CCORING CRITERIA 2047 0 Phoenical	andina LaCranga Trau	n County		'
	PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-0 Phoenix L		p County		
	REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sections where points are			Score	Self DCA
	<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on		ring decisions.	Value	Score Score
	Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" de	duction.		value	Score Score
			TOTALS:	92	69 20
16 INN	IOVATIVE PROJECT CONCEPT			3	
-	e applicant claiming these points?			· ·	N/a
			D 1: D: V 1 D		
	ection Criteria		Ranking Pts Value Ran	<u>ge</u>	Ranking Pts
	Presentation of the project concept narrative in the Application.		0 - 10		1.
	Uniqueness of innovation.		0 - 10		2.
	Demonstrated replicability of the innovation.		0 - 5		3.
	Leveraged operating funding		0 - 5		4.
	Measureable benefit to tenants		0 - 5		5.
	Collaborative solutions proposed and $\underline{evidence}$ of subject matter experts' \underline{direct} involvement in the strategic	c concept development.	0 - 5	_	6.
DCA	's Comments:		0 - 40		Total: 0
17 INT	EGRATED SUPPORTIVE HOUSING			3	2 0
		400/ (T / III '' /)	_	_	
	grated Supportive Housing/ Section 811 RA	10% of Total Units (max):	7	2	A. 2 0
	Applicant agrees to accept Section 811 PBRA or other DCA-offered RA for up to 10% of the units for the	Total Low Income Units	70		1. Agree
	purpose of providing Integrated Supportive Housing (ISH) opportunities to Persons w/ Disabilities (PWD),	Min 1 BR LI Units required	7		
;	and is prepared to accept the full utilization by DCA of 10% of the units?	1 BR LI Units Proposed	21	1	
2.	Applicant understands the requirements of HUD's Section 811 Project Rental Assistance (PRA) program, in	cluding the 30-year use restriction	on for all PRA units?	⊣	2. Yes
	At least 10% of the total low-income units in the proposed Application will be one bedroom units?	3			3. Yes
	Applicant is willing to accept Assistance affordable to 50% AMI tenants?				4. Yes
				_	
-	get Population Preference			3	B. 0 0
	Applicant has a commitment of HUD Section 8 project-based rental assistance from a Public Housing Author		tenant selection		1. Disagree
	preference in their Voucher programs for persons with specific disabilities identified in the Settlement Agree	ment (#1:10-CV-249-CAP)?		_	
	Name of Public Housing Authority providing PBRA:	PBRA Expiration:			
2.	Applicant agrees to implement a minimum of 15% of the total units targeting the Settlement population?	Nbr of Settlement units:	0	0.0%	2. Disagree
Scor	ing Justification per Applicant				
DCA	's Comments:				
40 1110	TODIO POPOPRIATION				
18. HIS	TORIC PRESERVATION (choose A or B)			2	0 0
The	property is: < <select applicable="" status="">></select>	Historic Credit Equity:	0		
Λ Hista	pric <u>and</u> Adaptive Reuse	Historic adaptive reuse units:	0	1	A. 0
	proposed development includes historic tax credit proceeds and is an adaptive reuse of a	Total Units	70	1 4	74.
	rioposed development includes historic tax credit proceeds and is all adaptive redse of a			4	
		% of Total	0.00%	<u> </u>	
inis	project is New Constrution so this section does not apply.				
B. Histo	oric	Nbr Historic units:	0	1	B.
	property is a certified historic structure per QAP or is deemed historic via a Georgia DNR-HPD approved	Total Units	70	1	
	Part 1- Evaluation of Significance to have a preliminary determination of listing on the National Register	% of Total	0.00%	1	
	's Comments:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	0.0070	_	
20/1					

Seorgia Department of Community Affairs	2017 Funding Application	Housing Fina	nce and Dev	elopmer/	nt Divisio
PART NINI	E - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-0 Phoenix Landing, LaG	range, Troup County			
Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews	Applicants must include comments in sections where points are claimed. perfain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or futur do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction.	re funding round scoring decisions.	Score Value	Self Score	DCA Score
		TOTALS:	92	69	20
19. HEALTHY HOUSING INITIATIVES	(choose A or B or C)		3	3	0
Pre-requisites:				Agree or Y/f	N Agree or Y/N
In Application submitted, Applicant used the follow	ring needs data to more efficiently target the proposed initiative for a propo	osed property:		Agree	
a) A local Community Health Needs Assessment (Ch	HNA)			Yes	
b) The "County Health Rankings & Reports" website:	http://www.countyhealthrankings.org/health-gaps/georg	<u>aia</u>		Yes	
c) The Center for Disease Control and Prevention - 0	Community Health Status Indicators (CHSI) website			Yes	
2. The Applicant identified target healthy initiatives to	local community needs?			Agree	
3. Explain the need for the targeted health initiative p	proposed in this section.				
development.					
A. Preventive Health Screening/Wellness Progra	m for Residents		3	3	0
	health screenings and or Wellness Services at the proposed project?		í	a) Agree	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	and be offered at minimal or no cost to the residents?		I	b) Yes	
	ss and preventive health care education and information for the residents?		(c) Yes	
2. Description of Service (Enter "N/a" if necessary)		Occurr		Cost to	Resident
a) Health screenings on site at least monthly		Mont			0
b) Biometric Screening		Mont			0
c) Education d) TBD		Periodi TBI			0
' <u> </u>		100	2		T
B. Healthy Eating Initiative Applicant agrees to provide a Healthy Eating Initiative,	as defined in the OAP, at the proposed project?		2	0 Disagre	0
 The community garden and edible landscape will: 	a) Emphasize the importance of local, seasonal, and healthy food?		,	a) N/a	-
11 The community garden and calcie landcape will.	b) Have a minimum planting area of at least 400 square feet?			b) N/a	
	c) Provide a water source nearby for watering the garden?		(c) N/a	
	d) Be surrounded on all sides with fence of weatherproof constructi	on?	(d) N/a	
	e) Meet the additional criteria outlined in DCA's Architectural Manua	al – Amenities Guidebook?		e) N/a	
2. The monthly healthy eating programs will be provide	ded free of charge to the residents and will feature related events?		į	2. N/a	
Description of Monthly Healthy Eating Programs		Description of Related Event			
a) N/A		N/A			
b) N/A		N/A			
c) N/A		N/A			
d) N/A		N/A			

	PART NINE - S	CORING CRI	ΓERIA - 2017·	-0 Phoenix La	anding, LaG	Frange, Troup	County			
REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sections where points are claimed. Score Self DCA									DCA	
Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.								Score		
	Failure to do so	will result in a one (1) point "Application (Completeness" ded	uction.		T0T410			
	TOTALS: 92 <u>69</u> <u>20</u>									20
C. Healthy Activity	Initiative							2	0	0
	provide a Healthy Activity Initiative, as d			•		enter type of Health	y Activity Initiative her	·e >>	Disagree	
 The dedicated 	1. The dedicated multi-purpose walking trail that is ½ mile or longer that promotes walking, jogging, or biking will:									
/	a) Be well illuminated? a) N/a f) Provide trash receptacles? f) N/a									
	halt or concrete surface?		b)	N/a			ional criteria outlined i		N/a	
	es or sitting areas throughout course of to	ail?	c)	N/a		Architectural Man	ual – Amenities Guide	ebook?		
d) Provide distant	8 8		d)	N/a						
e) Provide 1 piece	e of fitness equipment per every 1/8 mile	of trail?	e)	N/a		Length of Trail	0.000			miles
•	ducational information will be provided from	ee of charge to the	residents on relat	ed events?				2.	Yes	
Scoring Justification	n per Applicant									
DCA's Comments:										
DOA's Comments.										
00 01/41/57/50/	047004 40540									
20. QUALITY EDUCATION AREAS Application develops a property located in the attendance zone of one or more high-performing schools as determined by the state CCRPI? Yes										
					•	ite CCRPI?		_	Yes	
NOTE: 2013-2016	District / School Syster	n - from state CCF		Troup County - 7	741					
CCRPI Data Must	Tenancy			Elderly						
be Used	Be Used If Charter school used, does it have a designated (not district wide) attendance zone that includes the property site?									
	CCRPI Scores from School Years Ending In: Average CCRPI >						PI >			
School Level	School Name (from state CCRPI website)	Grades Served	Charter School?	2013	2014	2015	2016	CCRPI Score	State Av	erage?
a) Primary/Elementary	West Point Elementary School	K-5	No	80.10	67.00	74.20	74.70	74.00	No	
b) Middle/Junior High	Long Cane Middle	6-8	No	73.10	74.10	79.00	75.20	75.35	Yes	
c) High	LaGrange High School	9-12	No	69.70	68.10	78.70	73.70	72.55	Yes	
d) Primary/Elementary	West Point Elementary School	K-5	No						Ī	
e) Middle/Junior High	Long Cane Middle	6-8	No							
f) High	LaGrange High School	9-12	No						1	
Scoring Justification per Applicant										
Long Cane Middle School and LaGrange High School is in the attendance zone and has an above average CCRPI score when average 3 years.										
DCA's Comments:										

orgia	Department o	f Community	Affairs	2017 Fund	ng Application		Housing Finar	ice and De	evelopmer	It DIVIS
			PART NINE - SCORING CF	RITERIA - 2017-	0 Phoenix Landii	ng, LaGrange, Troup	County			
	<u>Disclaimer:</u> DC	A Threshold and Sc	REMINDER: Applicants must include oring section reviews pertain only to the correst Failure to do so will result in a one	oonding funding round and	I have no effect on subsequ	uent or future funding round scori	ng decisions.	Score Value	Self Score	DCA Score
							TOTALS:	92	69	20
1. W	ORKFORCE I	HOUSING NE	EED (choose A or B)	(Must use 2014 da	ata from "OnTheMap"	tool, but 2015 data may be	used if available)	2	2	0
Α	. Minumum jobs t	hreshold met <u>ar</u>	nd 60% of workers within a 2-mile radiu	s travel over 10 miles	to their place of work	•		2		-
R B	3. Exceed the mini	mum jobs thres	hold by 50%					2		
	Jobs	City of			Atlanta Metro			Other	Rural	
	Threshold	Atlanta	(Cherokee, Clayton, Co	bb, DeKalb, Douglas	Fayette, Fulton, Gwin	nett, Henry and Rockdale o	ounties)	MSA	Area	_
Mir	nimum	20,000			15,000			6,000	3,000	
Pro	oject Site								11,429	
Mir	n Exceeded by:	0.00%			0.00%			0.00%	280.97%	
				Per Applicant	Per DCA	Project City	LaGrange			
	•		(from chart above) Nbr of Jobs:	3,000		Project County	Troup			
	tal Nbr of Jobs w/i			11,429		HUD SA	Troup Co.			
Nbr of Jobs in 2-mile radius w/ workers who travel > 10 miles to work: 6,624 MSA / Non-MSA Non-MSA										
	ercentage of Jobs work:	w/in the 2-mile ra	adius w/ workers travelling over 10 mile	s 57.96%	0.00%	Urban or Rural	Rural			
Sc	coring Justification	per Applicant								
ne pro	posed site qualifie	es for 2 points ur	nder Workforce Housing as 58% of wor	kers within a 2-mile ra	dius travel over 10 mi	les to work.				
D.C	24/2 02									
DC	CA's Comments:									
	01/01/14/105	7.55555514	1105							T 40
2. C	OMPLIANCE /	PERFORMA	ANCE					10	10	10
	se Score								10	10
	eductions									
	lditions									
SC	coring Justification	per Applicant								
DC	CA's Comments:									
	orto commento.									
										-
TOTAL POSSIBLE SCORE EXCEPTIONAL NONPROFIT POINTS					92	69	20			
						·	0			
					INNOVATIVE PRO	JECT CONCEPT POINT	s			0
				NET POSSIRI	E SCORE WITH	OUT DCA EXTRA PO	INTS			20
										

PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-0 Phoenix Landin	g LaGrange	Troup County
TAILT WITE GOOTHIE OTHER EATH	g, Laciange,	rioup country

REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sections where points are claimed.

Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions. Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction.

Score Value 92

TOTAL S.

Self DCA Score Score

101/125. 02 00 120
DCA has included the following area for Applicants to make comments in any section they claimed points but were not provided with comment section. Include the section/(s) you are referring to within this area along with any applicable comments.

Scoring Section 8.C.1 - Transformational Communities: Community Improvement Fund Narrative

Phoenix Landing LaGrange, Troup County

<< Enter paragraph(s) here. Press and hold Alt-Enter to start new paragraphs. >>

Housing Finance and Development Division

Scoring Section 8.C.1 - Transformational Communities: Community Improvement Fund Narrative

Phoenix Landing LaGrange, Troup County

Scoring Section 14 - DCA Community Initiatives: GICH Project Narrative

Phoenix Landing LaGrange, Troup County

<< Enter paragraph(s) here. Press and hold Alt-Enter to start new paragraphs. >>

Scoring Section 16 - Innovative Project Concept Narrative

Phoenix Landing LaGrange, Troup County

<< Enter paragraph(s) here. Press and hold Alt-Enter to start new paragraphs. >>

Georgia Department of Community Affairs Housing Finance and Development Division 60 Executive Park South, NE. Atlanta, Georgia 30329-2231

Re: Application for Low-Income Housing Tax Credit and/or HOME Funding

To DCA:

This Application is submitted in accordance with the 2017 Qualified Allocation Plan and the Housing Finance and Development Division Manuals. In submitting this Application for funding consideration, the undersigned applicant hereby certifies:

- 1) I understand that, in the event an allocation (or an allowance) for LIHTCs was obtained with false information supplied to the Georgia Department of Community Affairs ("DCA"), DCA will recapture the LIHTCs or request that the IRS deny tax credits to the Applicant entity. Also, a supplier, including the developer or owner, who knowingly provides false information will be barred by DCA from program participation for a period of five (5) years from the date the false information was discovered, in accordance with a Memorandum of Understanding between the Internal Revenue Service and the Georgia Housing and Finance Authority.
- 2) I am responsible for ensuring the project consists or will consist of a qualified low-income building (or buildings) as defined in the Internal Revenue Code section 42(c)(2) and will satisfy all applicable requirements of State and Federal tax law in the acquisition, development and operation of the project to receive State and federal housing tax credits.
- 3) I am responsible for all calculations and figures relating to the determination of the eligible basis of the building(s). I understand and agree the amount of the credit is allocated by reference to the figures that I submit as to eligible and qualified basis. I understand that the actual amount of credit allocated may vary somewhat from the amount initially reserved or committed due to (a) the determination by the Georgia Department of Community Affairs ("DCA") as to the amount of credit necessary for the financial feasibility of the project and its viability as a qualified low-income housing project; (b) revisions in the calculations of eligible and qualified basis as finally determined; (c) fluctuations in the prevailing credit percentage; and (d) availability of the credit.
- 4) I understand and agree that DCA makes no representations or warranties regarding the financial feasibility of the project, the amount of credit, or the appropriateness of the allocation of the credit and makes no independent investigation as to the eligible and qualified basis and that any and all credit awards and credit amounts are solely based on representations made by me. I therefore agree to hold harmless and indemnify DCA and the individual directors, employees, members, officers, and agents of DCA in the event that I or anyone acting on my behalf, at my request or by and through me incurs any loss, injury, or damages in conjunction with the project including those that may result from any inquiries or gathering of information by DCA concerning the proposed project team or Application, diminution of the credit, loss of the credit, recapture of part or all of the credit, failure to allocate the credit requested in my Application or, the failure of DCA, in whole or in part, to grant my Application.
- 5) I understand and agree that neither DCA nor any of its individual directors, employees, members, officers, or agents assume any responsibility or make any representations or warranties with respect to: (i) the amount or availability of credit for the project; or (ii) the financial feasibility of the project.

- 6) I understand and agree that my Application for a low-income housing credit and/or HOME loan, all attachments thereto, amendments, and all correspondence relating to my Application in particular or the credit in general are subject to a request disclosure under the Georgia Open Records Act and I expressly consent to such disclosure. I further understand and agree that any and all correspondence to me from DCA, other DCA-generated documents, or documents to or from a third party in the possession of DCA relating to my Application are subject to a request for disclosure under the Georgia Open Records Act and I expressly consent to such disclosure. I agree to hold harmless DCA and the individual directors, employees, members, officers, and agents of DCA against all losses, costs, damages, expenses, and liability of whatsoever nature or kind (including, but not limited to, attorneys' fees, litigation, and court costs) directly or indirectly resulting from or arising out of the release of all information pertaining to my Application pursuant to a request under the Georgia Open Records Act or resulting from or arising out of the release.
- 7) I understand and agree to authorize DCA access to information relevant to DCA's Application review. I understand that DCA may request an IRS Form 8821 for any Project Participant through final allocation of credits and/or disbursement of funds. Project Participants will complete Form 8821 upon DCA's request.
- 8) I understand that any misrepresentations, which includes fraudulent, negligent, and/or innocent, in this Application or supporting documentation may result in a withdrawal of tax credits and/or HOME loan by DCA, my (and related parties) being barred from future program participation, and notification of the Internal Revenue Service and/or HUD.
- 9) I certify that all sources of funds, including but not limited to Federal, State and local funding sources, have been disclosed and revealed.

In addition, Applicant understands:

- The above certifications are of a continuing nature and apply at all stages of the Application process: initial application, commitment, carryover allocation, and final allocation/funding.
- DCA must be notified of any subsequent events or information, which would change any statements or representations in the attached Application or amendments thereto;
- DCA reserves the right to verify all information or documents used in processing the Application, including requiring credit checks on all parties involved in the transaction. Applicant hereby authorizes the financing bank, accountant, mortgage lender, creditors, other state housing agencies and others sources identified in the Application to release information to DCA or its designee in order to verify the accuracy of information in the Application and amendments thereto.

Applicant agrees and understands that it may be charged for all fees and costs incurred by DCA in the inspection of funded properties during and after construction and in the enforcement of DCA regulations and policies.

Under penalty of perjury, to the best of my knowledge, I certify that all of the information in the attached Application, including all supporting documentation, is correct, complete and accurate.

APPLICANT/OWNER	
Printed Name	Title
Signature	Date
	[SEAL]

ADDLICANT/OWNED